NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | October 20, 1941 | Subscription Three Dollars Per Year

FALL OF REDS LEAVES NEW DEAL STRANDED

(Story on Page 3)

European Invasion, Not U. S. Defense, Is Real War Plan

(See Page 10)

Housing Project Fruit of Coughlin Broadcast

(See Story on Page 7)

Read: Mr. Roosevelt's Fifth Column in Panama

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ BEHIND THE WAR: TURKEY IS NEXT ★ AID TO SOVIET MAY NOW TURN AGAINST US

Dinner Chat

ON ACCOUNT of the early deadline required for a national magazine of our circulation numerous announcements of birthday affairs for Father Coughlin will, no doubt, reach our office too late for publication.

The following are on hand at press time for this edition.

Queens County Ball

A BIRTHDAY ball to honor Father Coughlin will be held Wednesday evening, October 22, in Lost Battalion hall, 93-29 Queens Blvd., Elmhurst, Long Island. Subscription is 50 cents. The affair is sponsored by Friends of Father Coughlin and the Queens County Birthday Ball Committtee.

Rev. Edward Brophy is honorary chairman; Rev. Jerome P. Holland, honorary treasurer; and Rev. Henry G. Doheny. The honorary committee, headed by the Very Rev. Msgr. John J. E. Robinson, includes Rev. John Reynolds, Rev. Gustave E. Baer, Rev. Arthur P. Herold, Rev. Thomas F. Code, Rev. John M. Porozzo, Rev. Eugene V. Kelly, Rev. Edward W. Jolley, Rev. Francis X. Reilly, Rev. Raymond J. Neufeld, Rev. Wendel J. O'Shaughnessy, Rev. James J. Delaney, Rev. George D. Sherman, Rev. Patrick F. Shine, Rev. Francis C. Romanowski, Rev. Joseph A. Gartner, Rev. Albert Spina, Rev. Joseph Deisz, Rev. Martin O'Dea, Rev. Charles J. Hughes, Rev. Adam J. Pfundstein, Rev. Edward J. Kelle, Rev. Francis J. Burns, Rev. Edward J. Byrne.

For further information inquire of Frank J. Williams, committee chairman, 86-32 Grand Avenue, Elmhurst.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 8 October 20, 1941 No. 16

New York Birthday Ball

A BIRTHDAY ball in honor of Father Coughlin, sponsored by his friends in New York City, will be held Thursday evening, October 23, in the Edison Hotel, Forty-sixth Street and Broadway. Admission is 50 cents, box seats $1.

The committee is made up of members from Bronx, Manhattan and Westchester counties.

Tickets may be obtained from the chairman, John J. Henihan, 522 East 159th Street, Bronx; or from Bernard L. D'Arcy, 19 West 44th Street.

The entire proceeds from the party will be sent as a birthday gift to Father Coughlin.

Philadelphia Party

THE Social Justice Associates, composed of the various Social Justice groups of Philadelphia under the direction of the Rev. J. J. Braun, will conduct the annual birthday party tendered to Father Coughlin.

The affair will comprise an entertainment and dance to be held in Turngemeinde Hall, Broad Street and Columbia Avenue, on Saturday evening, October 25th.

Tickets may be obtained from any distributor of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, or from members of the various groups allied under Father Braun's leadership.

Brooklyn Birthday Ball

THE FOURTH ANNUAL birthday ball in honor of the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, sponsored by the American Citizen's Committee, will be held at the Knights of Columbus grand ballroom, 1 Prospect Park West, Brooklyn, on Friday evening, October 24th.

The honorary chairman will be the Rev. Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, pastor of St. Joseph's Church; Henry J. Coleman will be chairman; Patrick F. Scanlan, editor of the *Tablet*, treasurer; and Florence Bushnell, chairlady of the ticket committee. Mrs. M. Wilson, 9318 Third Avenue, Brooklyn, will receive donations, advertisements, etc.

Tickets may be purchased from Bernard T. D'Arcy, 19 West 44th Street, Manhattan, or from any SOCIAL JUSTICE distributor or salesman; from the Catholic Bookstore, 149 Remsen Street, Brooklyn, or at the International Catholic Truth Society, 407 Bergen Street, Brooklyn. Tickets are 55 cents.

How About a 'Tin Hat' f'r Magazeen Circulashun

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well, I got my re'lar girl again 'n I'm tellin' you she's none too pleezed about it, eether. She gave me th' slip las' week 'n got away with it, but she didn't have such good luck this time. I just asertud my rights as sirculashun manajer 'n it worked like a charm.

I'm always havin' trubbul with my sekratary theez days — it seems she is tired uv me. I ast her 'bout it th' other day 'n she said no, she wasn't tired uv me 'r disgusted with me — I wuz all right in my own way — but she just wisht I'd not get in her way when she's got other work t' do. 'Sides that, she said that copyin' my stuff out f'r me t' hand inta th' printers wuz just rooinin' her spellin' and she found herself leevin' off th' endings uv her words all th' time now when she talks 'n she's afraid that peepul'll think she's ignerunt 'n had no skoolin' at all.

So right here 'n now I'm takin' th 'blame f'r all th' mistakes in th' spellin' 'n all th' split infinatuves she komplains 'bout that 're sprinkuled here'n there. Maybe this publik apology'll make her feel better — I hope so. I'd kinda like t' keep her on my good side 'cause it's mighty diskonsertin' t' come down t' th' offuss 'n not have ennybuddy free t' take care of a few littul detales f'r me, among which this littul chat with you folks ev'ry week is just one uv menny.

I wuz given an awful skare las' week when my sekratary pulled a sorta strike on me — golly — where won't y' find a strike these days? But I maneged t' make th' grade somehow, even if I did hafta enlist Deadline Daddy's help. Good ol' faithful! Maybe I c'n do a faver f'r him some day. Heh, heh, like gettin' around t' takin' care uv my copy a littul erlier might be konsidered one, eh?

* * *

Well, news 'round 'n about these parts, that is, gossupy bits uv news, is 's skarse this week as th' leeves on th' trees out in th' back yard.

Kate Smith came back from her vakashun up in or rather I gess I should say *out* West — she wuz in Regina, that's sumwhere in Canada 'n from th' looks uv her she had a grand rest. She put on a bit uv averdupoy but it doesn't detrackt from her beyooty th' leest. Uv course, she thinks so, 'n is busy dietin'. Wimmin! They do th' kraziest things — take a good rest t' bild themselves up f'r th' long kold Winter 'n then when they git back t' work they start starvin' themselves. Woe is me — I've given up tryin' t' figger it out. I'll take up figgerin' up tax reports — I'm sure it'll be a lot eezier.

* * *

I wuz talkin' t' a young feller in th' offuss th' other day 'n he menshund somethin' that's helped me make up my mind what I'd give th' edditer f'r Christmas this year. Shure, I've started t' think 'bout my gift list already — 'n it's none too erly, eether. He said that th' bald-hedded boys (?) in th' army camps were findin' that their tin hats were makin' th' hair grow back in on their skalps. I gess — take it 'r leeve it — that th' frikshun caused by bangin' 'em on their skulls 'n stratenin' 'em offun — 'y know how it is, men — inkreesus th' sirculashun 'n makes th' hair grow back in. So, it's all settuled what Daddy's donna dit f'rm itty-bitty-Petie come Mickelmus.

And — y' c'n take this 'r leeve it — but I'd much rather y'd take it, my frien's — as a suggestion well werth konsiderashun.

How about doin' y'r Chrismus shoppin' erly 'n gettin' it off y'r minds by decidin' right here 'n now t' take this littul koopon down below 'n fillin' in some relative's name 'r some frien's 'n puttin' 'em on our subskripshun list f'r a year?

Do this each week from now on 'n y' won't feel that awful after-th'-holiday-pinch that comes if y' don't plan ahead 'n pay y'r bills as y' go along. This idea'd help you 'n I'm shure y'r frien's would be more than pleezed with SOCIAL JUSTICE fr' a gift. We'd arrange t' send them th' Chrismus issue 'n let 'em know that th' subskripshun is a gift from you 'n all that sort uv thing. That'd save y' a lot uv extry effert, wouldn't it, now?

Y'd better think it over 'n send in th 'subs erly so they won't be held up in th' rush 'r ennything. Our staff c'n only do so much 'n no more in a day, y' know. So don't put this off if y're interested — 'n y' are — I HOPE.

So, a tin helmut inkreesus th' sirkulashun on a selecktee's hed 'n makes th' hair grow, does it? Well, let's see how you c'n inkreese SOCIAL JUSTICE'S sirkulashun 'n make it grow.

Well, I gess that's all my chitchat f'r t'day — I see I've used up all my space alreddy. My, my!

See y' later, folks.

—Pete

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

Soviet Armies Collapse

New Deal Left Stranded

THE THREE major Soviet armies have collapsed.

The northern army is trapped in Leningrad.

The southern army is dissipated.

The central army, together with the civilian population of Moscow, are in bloody retreat.

Possibly, a peasant army, untrained and minus morale, will be herded by Stalin and his assassins to fight for the four liberties of atheism, poverty, slavery and pie in the Kremlin. But, to no avail.

As for the Siberian army, Japan is holding it on guard.

Eclipsing the achievements of Alexander the Great; surpassing the startling performances of Napoleon, the disparaged paperhanger of Munich toppled over the colossus of 13-million Soviet troops whose 25,000 tanks and 12,000 airplanes were poised three months ago to raze Europe with the connivance, the moral and financial support of Churchill and Roosevelt, the erstwhile champs of democracy, who may be regarded as its chumps.

From 1917 to 1941 is a short span — less than a quarter of a century—representing the life of Bolshevism in Europe. It was the most sanguinary period in all the history of the world.

Stemming from the exploitation which characterized moribund Bourbonism; flowing from the rapacity identified with greedy Bank of Englandism, 10,000 abuses, like ghosts, began to haunt the highways and by-ways of Europe. These gave promise of unrest and revolution; these invited courageous men to rectify the social evils which floated to the top of the continental whirlpool which threatened to suck civilization into the depths of oblivion.

The right leaders, armed with the right weapons, were inefficient to wield them. The French Revolution, with its wrong weapons, was the major force which protested.

And this force proved abortive.

In 1848 Karl Marx — another wrong leader—blueprinted another wrong weapon, for the descendants of Bourbonism and the executors of Bank of Englandism still held fast to their policy of class poverty and exploitation as both fought to imperialize the industrial and commercial worlds for the benefit of the few.

For a period, Karl Marx's Communism promised to succeed.

In 1914 came the first World War to make the world safe for democracy—as it was advertised.

In 1917 German international bankers and war lords invited a pants-pressing, radical Jew from the East Side of New York to join with the flotsam and jetsam of continental society in overthrowing the czaristic government of Russia which had seen fit to cast its lot with the banking imperialists throughout the world.

Then came Communism. Then came the slaughter of millions upon millions of Christians and religious Jews.

Then came the banishment of faith, hope and charity from the hearts of a scarred and beaten people.

Then came the deification of the State and the political crucifixion of God.

Then came the hordes of Lenin and Stalin to enforce their diabolical concept of life upon Poland, Germany, Austria, France —the entire world, if possible; for their program was international.

* * *

Meanwhile, the *Peace Treaty of Versailles* was signed to perpetuate a *status quo* of injustice—particularly for Germany.

Affairs did not go so well in Britain, in France and in America. The statesmen of these countries could not buy themselves and their people out of depression and international capitalistic gold. Moreover, they were fruitless in their attempts to check the spread of radical ideas.

In desperation the banking fraternities of these three empires loaned money for the re-armament of Germany with the hope that their erstwhile enemy would prove to be a stumbling block for bloody Josef Stalin.

Germany re-armed. Though her treasury was devoid of gold, she succeeded in building up not only a tremendous military machine but also succeeded in becoming a mighty competitor in industry and commerce against which overly taxed France and poverty stricken Britain could not compete.

Rising to power, like a meteor from the East, came the paperhanger from Munich.

He rallied round him millions upon millions of Germans and Austrians as he orated against the injustice of Versailles.

He marched into the Rhineland against the provisions of the Treaty.

He re-asserted the rights of the German people in the Rhur against the provisions of the Treaty.

He welded the territory of Austria to Germany against the provisions of the Treaty.

He built a navy on a new parity against the provisions of the Treaty.

He conscripted an army the like of which the world has never known against the provisions of the Treaty.

He overwhelmed Czechoslovakia against the provisions of the Treaty.

He marched into Poland to regain the pre-war territory where dwelt Germans against the provisions of the Treaty.

And, finally, when Chamberlain was ousted from power in Britain, Churchill proceeded to do battle against Hitler against the provisions of common sense.

* * *

Since 1939 the second world war has been in progress.

France fell almost within the phase of a moon.

The British armies fled to Dunkirk almost over night.

One nation after the other succumbed to the regimentation against which the might of Britain's 500-million population and America's untold wealth were pitted.

Eventually, Britain—whose armies had been beaten on every field and were now safely esconced behind the white walls of Dover—persuaded Stalin to dagger Hitler in the back while she would plague him by a continental invasion.

Three months have elapsed since the outbreak of the Russo-German war. And these three months will be chronicled in history as the cauldron of time in which were melted the hopes and dreams of Communism—and international capitalism.

Definitely, materialism will still hold sway in many parliaments and chancery offices. But Communism, as a political force, is as dead as Yorick's skull.

Like Hamlet, historians will say of Communism: *"Alas! Poor Yorick, I knew him well."*

The Rosencrantz and Guildenstern of the drama, whose third act is now completed, are Roosevelt and Churchill. They who supplied the oratory for the tragedy; they who promised to supply the men, munitions and money for the battle; they who pledged a continental invasion, are morally as dead as is Yorick physically dead.

With the fall of Moscow; with the collapse of the Russian armies, there is no hope for a British or New Deal victory in the immediate future.

Incidentally Mr. Roosevelt can muse

(Continued on Page 18)

Index to Comment

The Collapse of Russia ..... Page 3

The Neutrality Bill; The Pound Sterling and the Dollar ..... Page 4

U.S. Army in Iceland Under British Command; British Return Unneeded Tankers; Myron Taylor and the Papacy ..... Page 5

Editorials ..... Page 6

Social Justice Moves Ahead ..... Page 7

Behind the War: Turkey Is Next ..... Page 8

Aid to Soviet Russia May Turn Against Us ..... Page 9

Invasion of Europe, Not 'Defense,' Is Plan ..... Page 10

America Already Invaded ..... Page 11

Lindbergh's 'Last' Speech ..... Page 12

America First 'Exposed'; Jewish Communists ..... Page 19

Mr. Roosevelt's Fifth Column in Panama ..... Page 20

Comment

The Neutrality Bill

As FAR back as 1934, Mr. Roosevelt had war in his heart.

Why? Well, it was evident that German commerce was about to rewrite the story originally penned in 1914.

In 1914, German commerce was surpassing that of Great Britain. In 1934, having recovered from the first World War and its consequences, German commerce was again surpassing that of Great Britain.

So, the British Empire had to be saved. That was the first and greatest concern of the internationalists who, upon the skeleton of the British Empire, had woven their arteries, veins, flesh and sinews into a *corpus* of world domination.

Thus, in 1934, the *Gold Purchase Act* was passed to make prepayment by America to Great Britain for the conduct of the second World War — and to save Britain's Empire.

When the second World War was declared by Great Britain against Germany (because Germany was determined to retrieve a part of Poland that did not belong to Poland but which had been given to that country by the iniquitous *Peace Treaty of Versailles*), Mr. Roosevelt and his colleagues entertained the opinion that Britain, France, Poland and other European nations could overcome the forces of Hitler with the help of American money, munitions and materials.

Then came the *Neutrality Act* as we knew it in 1939.

Then came the warning that should we become the arsenal of one belligerent, logically, we would become the target of the other!

As an arsenal we did not prove to be such a success. Instead of producing a flow of munitions and materials to Britain, we were successful only in producing a dribble.

Despite our best efforts, through the process of regimentation, priorities and lend-lease activities, the British were driven from Europe, the French capitulated, the Poles were ravished.

At length — when the proper time arrived — bloody Jo (whom both British and Americans persuaded to join up with Hitler for the latter's world-wide discomfiture) began to play his true role.

All along he had been the ally of the allies.

All along he had an understanding with both Washington and London to stab Adolf in the back.

As bloody Jo was about to unsheath his dagger, Hitler laid him low at the battle of Smolensk, which proved to be the turning point in world history.

Meanwhile, though millions of Hitler's men were engaged on the eastern front with the Russians, the British sat tight in England, afraid to make another effort to engage Hitler in Europe lest a second Dunkirk would eventuate.

As matters stand, Washington and Moscow combined have not succeeded in getting Great Britain into this phase of the war.

As matters stand, Britain is fighting this phase of the war only with words, words, words.

And how those words grow from acorns into oaks!

At first, Britain merely wanted munitions and materials.

Shortly afterwards, Britain wanted a little money according to the *Lend-Lease Bill*.

Still a little later, she wanted delivery of foodstuffs, munitions, material and money because her fleet was unable to take care of the battle of the Atlantic.

Thus, Mr. Roosevelt has climbed the tree which grew from the acorn. He now finds himself seated far out on the left limb — all alone and holding the international bag!

In plainer language, he is getting revision of the *Neutrality Act*. American boats will sail into belligerent waters, and these American boats will be armed.

What is Hitler supposed to do when he sees the American flag flying from the mast of an American merchantman, the hold of which is filled with airplane parts and from whose deck grins a battery of guns?

Let us be logical. Hitler will attempt to sink these boats.

Then the front pages of the newspapers will cry out that the Nazis have assaulted the American flag. A shooting war — declared or undeclared — will be in progress.

It will be a shooting war for the defense of the British Empire.

It will be a shooting war for the maintenance of the *status quo* of imperialism.

It will be a shooting war for the abrogation of American rights, liberties and *Constitution*.

It will be a shooting war for the confiscation of American wealth and property.

It will be a shooting war to bring us down to the low level of Stalinistic diplomacy, policy and culture.

* * *

We sympathize with these idealists and second-guessers who long since have held to the point that Mr. Roosevelt would not get us into a shooting war.

This group of patriots, New Dealers, pro-Britishers and what-nots have been wrong from the beginning.

They will never admit their error. They will sacrifice democracy, Christianity and the American way of living "to battle Hitler."

And, eventually, they will fail even in that objective.

Pound Sterling and the Dollar

WE REMARKED in the preceding comment how the Germans, by 1938-9, gave promise of surpassing the British in matters of trade and commerce.

As early as 1937, Winston Churchill — not then Prime Minister of England — ably read the signs of the times, as did Mr. Roosevelt. The President, at that date, was engaged in piling up a hoard of gold at Fort Knox, Kentucky, for the profit of the British.

Churchill was a realist in finance if a retreater from battle. In a way, the doughty "premier" understood that Britain's financial star was about to set; that, henceforth, there would be required the gravitational pull of the American dollar to keep the British pound sterling supreme.

Then came a book entitled *"Money and Trade,"* published by the Princeton University Press and written by Rene Leon.

Mr. Leon, if memory serves us correctly, is an erudite Jewish-French gentleman who originated in Africa; eventually came to America; and successfully served in the silver markets under the auspices of the New Deal Government.

Mr. Leon knows his subject well.

In his book, *"Money and Trade,"* Rene Leon recalls a visit he had with Mr. Winston Churchill in the latter's country residence in Kent.

The visit was chronicled in 1937.

Mr. Leon tells us that he and Churchill discussed the money of the future. Both gentlemen agreed on the urgent need for Anglo-American co-operation in the monetary field as a means to peace.

Following the discussion, while at luncheon, Mr. Churchill drew a little sketch which he described as his conception of the money of the future. His sketch was the pound sterling sign and the dollar sign woven together.

Mr. Rene Leon was impressed by this drawing and all it symbolized. He thereupon asked Mr. Churchill for a replica to be used as an appropriate frontispiece to *"Money and Trade."*

Thus was born the new symbol of the pound sterling interwoven with the dollar sign. It is the new *"In hoc signo vinces"*— "In this sign thou shalt conquer."

All of this is interesting reading both to the initiated and the uninitiated who dream dreams of Union Now or who entertain hopes of keeping the United States a free, independent, autonomous nation.

Whether or not you know it, the die has been cast. This country is the *"United States of Britamerica."*

Months ago, SOCIAL JUSTICE stated that this was a war of the gold nations against the non-gold nations; that this was a war to protect the gold hoard hidden at Fort Knox, Kentucky; that this was a war to perpetuate the abuses identified with Bank of Englandism.

Winston Churchill's self-expose, made in 1937, confirms these statements.

Comment

U. S. Army in Iceland Under British Command

SENATOR Arthur L. Vandenberg of Michigan, distinguished member of the Foreign Relations Committee, has sent a letter to Secretary Stimson asking the War Department to explain to Congress why American troops in Iceland are under the orders of the British general staff.

When he announced the occupation of Iceland, President Roosevelt asserted that the American soldiers would "supplement, and eventually replace, the British forces which have until now been stationed in Iceland in order to insure the adequate defense of that country."

This statement was more or less openly disputed by Prime Minister Churchill who maintained that the British would remain on the island and "co-operate effectively in resistance to any attempt of Hitler to gain a footing."

But to cap the climax, a correspondent of the *London Daily Express* in a dispatch from Iceland on October 2nd reported: "The command of the Anglo-American army here will be on a basis of mutual co-operation *with Britain as the predominant partner.*"

Whoever "co-operates" with the British Lion winds up as a junior partner if not with an outright colonial status.

Senator Robert R. Reynolds, chairman of the Senate Military Affairs Committee, remarked that the "union now" was already a reality.

"We have already joined forces with Great Britain *without the sanction of Congress.* I am not surprised at the subordination of the American command in Iceland. We've been subordinate to the British for a long time. *They are directing our foreign policy!*"

And Representative Hamilton Fish of New York stated:

"I originally opposed the sending of troops 2,700 miles from our shores into the European war zone.

"It is a totally preposterous and ridiculous position that the United States has been put into — to have our soldiers under the command of a belligerent nation while we maintain diplomatic relations with Germany."

British Return Unneeded Oil Tankers

UNDER the verbal pounding of James A. Moffett, chairman of the board of the California-Texas Oil Company, Oil Co-Ordinator Harold Ickes has finally admitted that the British has offered to return some of the borrowed oil tankers to America.

You will recall that Mr. Ickes used the tanker shortage to cloak his proclaimed "oil shortage."

Restriction on the sale of oil and gasoline on the Eastern seaboard is still mandatory, including rationing of supplies and a 7 P.M. to 7 A.M. curfew on gasoline sales nightly is still in effect.

Never one to backtrack, Ickes now says that the tankers may be used to haul molasses instead of oil.

He says that Britain released the tankers "because of the greatly diminished sinkings and other benefits of the policies undertaken by the United States."

Mr. Moffett charges that the British do not need the 50 to 80 tankers borrowed from the United States and were hauling grain and other supplies instead of oil in their own tankers.

Moffett blasted Ickes on the sinking of the tanker, *I. C. White,* torpedoed September 27 while carrying oil for the British to South Africa (which has more oil stock on hand than it can use), while the Roosevelt Administration proclaims a "so-called oil shortage in this country."

"The petroleum stocks in South Africa are already greater than they have been in years," Mr. Moffett said in a formal statement, "and there are other ways of getting oil there besides the use of American tankers needed in the American service.

"It is disgraceful and outrageous that this tanker should have been carrying more oil to Capetown, and be sunk enroute, and at the same time have the current outcry about a so-called shortage in this country."

$100 per Person

REPRESENTATIVE Robert F. Rich of Pennsylvania, in a speech before the House of Representatives (*Congressional Record,* Sept. 29) pointed out what the new give-away proposal to Soviet Russia means to each American personally.

Said the Congressman:

"You are going to be asked . . . to pass another appropriation under the *Lease-Lend Bill.* I want you to think these figures over.

"You voted $7-billion to the President on March 11 and he says he has contracted for that $7-billion to aid the British and others. Now, he asked last week for $5-billion, 985-million more.

"That $7-billion means, for 130-million people in America, $53.07 apiece, for every man, woman and child in the United States!

"This $5-billion, 985-million is going to mean for every man, woman, and child in the United States $46.78. This means $99.85 per capita for these two *Lease-Lend Bills.*

"Suppose you went to every man, woman and child in your district and said, 'Now, I want $99.85 from you and I want you to cough it up so I can give it to the President of the United States and let him in turn give it away.'

"How many people in your districts would give you that $99.85? I think there are mighty few.

"How many families in your district that have five in the family want to give $500 to the President of the United States to give away in warships and T.N.T. and other munitions *to destroy people over in some foreign country* where America said, under the *Monroe Doctrine,* America would not interefere in their European affairs, at the same time saying to them that we did not want them to interfere with the affairs of America.

"Now, we do not want them to interfere with affairs in America and I will be one of the first to get up here and fight if they ever do, but I do not want to take the American people over to Europe and interfere in their affairs.

"I am going to do everything I can to keep America out of war, and I hope the Congress will do the same thing.

"If we are not careful you will bankrupt America, you will socialize America, you will set up a dictator in America."

Myron Taylor And the Papacy

THERE WERE many red faces in the Department of State, and in the White House itself, when Mr. Roosevelt's chummy letter to Brother Jo Stalin was released in Germany through the D.N.B. news service.

The President's letter to the murderer of the Kremlin was supposed to be one of these high secrets which the common, democratic people should not know. But, there was the secret, and there was the slip.

Preparatory to the Roosevelt pact with Bloody Jo, Mr. Myron Taylor, it appears, was sent to Vatican City to advise His Holiness, Pius XII, what he should do in this crisis.

According to Jewish periodicals, Italian papers, and other sources, Mr. Taylor failed in persuading Pius XII to applaud bloody Jo's belated profession of faith in freedom for religion.

As a matter of record, Pius XII did not rescind what Pius XI said about Communism — that it is intrinsically wrong and no one who would save Christian civilization can co-operate with it in any manner whatsoever.

As a matter of record, Pius XII did not make any statement that all aid for Russia was merely aid for the Russian people and not aid to the Russian government.

As a matter of record, Myron Taylor's visit to Vatican City produced no change in the *status quo* of papal diplomacy.

* * *

Of course, Mr. Taylor reported to Mr. Churchill before he reported to Mr. Roosevelt in person.

This report was as damaging to the imperial British cause as was Crete and Dunkirk combined.

This report, preceded by British threats to bomb Rome, forced Mr. Roosevelt to make a "papal pronouncement" on his own part to the effect that, constitutionally, there was freedom of religion in Russia.

This report, which, possibly, was known as thoroughly in Berlin as was Mr. Roosevelt' secret letter to Josef Stalin, proved to be another diplomatic defeat for the London, Moscow, Washington axis.

As of today the war-mongers, pro-Britishers, international Jews and New Dealers are wondering just how great was the leak which was tipped off in the Roosevelt's secret letter to Josef Stalin, proved to encompassed the Myron Taylor report, and other secrets, as well.

For the benefit of those who have been howling from the housetops and furrowing in the secret cellars of international intrigue, much more is known than they suspect.

It is time that they begin to mend fences and lock doors, now that the Red horse has gone galloping.

Rather esoteric, isn't it?

Well, it is supposed to be that way!

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Ickes Irked by Own Testimony

ELSEWHERE in this edition is reported the return to America by Britain of unwanted oil tankers.

It was the tanker shortage, you remember, which Harold Ickes, Secretary of Interior and oil co-ordinator, cited to proclaim his gasoline curfew for the Eastern seaboard, and threaten rationing for the rest of the country.

Appearing before a Senate investigation committee, Mr. Ickes was forced to admit that the 7 p.m. to 7 a.m. curfew for Eastern gas stations *had not saved a single barrel of oil!*

When he refused to "hazard a guess" concerning any possible saving by such methods, Senator Harold H. Burton of Ohio suggested that such a futile practice ought to be stopped; but Mr. Ickes would not agree.

Mr. Ickes interrupted Committee Chairman Francis Maloney who was reading from an exhibit submitted earlier by Mr. Ickes as part of a prepared statement.

In deepest sarcasm, the co-ordinator said, "Oh, if the committee is going to take *that kind of testimony*—"

"Why, this is from the statement you have just presented to us," said Maloney as a wave of laughter swept the chamber.

A lesser man than Ickes would have been stopped.

"You see," he said lamely, "I put *everything* in there!"

* * *

Mr. Ickes is both a symbol and a specimen of the New Deal and its self-contradiction.

At one time Roosevelt pleads for peace and neutrality; at another time he pleads for war and belligerency.

At one time the President spurns "fools' gold"; at another time he cuddles it to his breast.

At one time the Agriculture Department burns crops and destroys pigs; at another time it pleads with farmers to produce for foreign consumption.

At one time the Administration laments the low bracket of prices; at another time it endeavors to harness prices.

At one time the Chief Executive damns Communism; at another time he extends his right hand to clasp the murderous paw of Bloody Joe.

The above incident, in which Harold Ickes spurns his own testimony, forgetting he ever made it, is a symbol and a specimen which should arouse decent Americans to their plight.

More than one person believes that we are in the hands of the Mad Men of Washington.

And more than Lindbergh believe that we have had our last free election.

In fact, in 1936 Father Coughlin said that we were witnessing our last free election.

Possibly it will require more than a ballot to remove the Bolsheviks from Washington.

British Government Sells Our Food

IT IS almost past time for editorial rhetoric. Disclosure has gone about as far as it can—action is up to the people of America.

Thousands of tons of food, shipped to Britain at the expense and sacrifice of the taxpayers of America, is being "sold to the English people by the British goverment, which pockets the money!"

At the same time, the United States Public Health Service warns the American people that "until our production of protein foods can be increased 50 per cent" we will have to choose between food rationing or letting the British go hungry.

The most ardent interventionists were shocked this last week as the House Appropriations Subcommittee disclosed testimony taken at the latest lease-lend hearings.

Willard Edwards, Washington correspondent for the *Chicago Tribune*, wired his paper that "Congress staggered under the impact of disclosures concerning the world-wide extent of the Roosevelt administration's program to defeat the Axis powers!"

Despite the food scandal, disclosed at the hearing, the United States plans to send another billion dollars' worth of food to Britain —enough to feed 25 per cent of the population.

Further disclosures were:

The United States plans to supply Britain with 320 merchant ships—armed, of course—to carry goods to the Red Sea, China and the Near East as well as to England via the North Atlantic.

The Roosevelt administration is determined to aid Soviet Russia.

This nation will build a $10-million naval base for Great Britain at an undisclosed location — where American and British troops will "co-operate" under British command.

The United States will soon be shipping vast amounts of war supplies into Africa.

About $500-million worth of weapons will be furnished to the Dutch East Indies.

Funds are being supplied to build arsenals in China.

Though Britain has holdings of $3½-billion in Latin America and $2-billion in Canada, the Roosevelt administration contends that none of this should be used to buy aid for Britain.

Fifteen to 20 per cent of American factory capacity is now devoted to war production; and plans call for this to be raised to 50 per cent by 1943.

"One fact stood out in all the voluminous testimony taken by the subcommittee," Mr. Edwards reports, "the United States *is not likely to get anything in return* for the billions of dollars' worth of supplies it ostensibly is 'lending or leasing' to Britain and the other anti-Axis nations!"

Revolt Clouds Darken

CRITICS of SOCIAL JUSTICE laughed us to scorn when we talked about "revolution" in England.

We said, too, that Churchill was "on the skids."

Now, none other than Ernest Bevin, Minister of Labor, warns the House of Commons of impending revolt.

"I would be stupid with all of my association with the working people, and having been engaged with the working problem for so long, if I ignored the possible repercussions of taking an unwise step," he said.

"The Germans tried leveling wages and ordering overtime. This led to revolt—even in Germany!"

Social Justice Moves Ahead

HERE is an example of social justice reduced to action partially through the inspiration of Father Coughlin's broadcast.

It is a story about a housing project.

It is a story about love for little children in large families.

The chief factor in the story is the man who built the houses, and the little children. The letter he wrote to us reads as follows:

"Dear Editor:

"I am enclosing a copy of a news story that appeared in our *St. Louis Globe-Democrat*. The reason I am mailing it to you is that just two or three weeks after I had purchased this tract of ground for the purpose that I used it, I listened to Father Coughlin's broadcast about *'New Frontiers.'*

"If you can recall this broadcast, you can imagine the joy in my heart when I listened to this discourse. I waited until I was just about finished with the project to tell you this.

"The article itself explains my development. But I thought you would be interested to know that Father Coughlin's broadcast added much fire to my ambitions.

"Yours very truly,

"CHAS. F. VATTEROTT, JR."

* * *

And here is the story from the *St. Louis Daily Globe-Democrat* (Sept. 28):

"In this day of apartment dwelling, efficiencies and frequent 'no children' sign in evidence, novelty has been introduced into the real estate scene here by Charles F. Vatterott, Jr., who has established in St. Louis county a community dedicated entirely to large families.

"Mary Ridge, on the South side of St. Charles road, one mile West of Woodson road, is one spot where the head of a large family doesn't meet with the rebuff, 'Sorry — but you've too many children — the neighbors wouldn't like it.' There the answer's different, 'Come right in — you're what we're looking for. People who don't like children don't live here.'

"Mary Ridge is the result of an idea that germinated early in Vatterott's business career and grew into an ideal. Since the project, begun in April, 1940, is almost completed, the story back of it can now be told.

"In his office, Vatterott, president of the Ball Lumber Company, the founder of Mary Ridge, related how for two decades he has longed to establish a community where big families would not only be welcome, but invited. In talking to him no one could doubt his sincerity.

" 'As a real estate man,' he said, 'and I've been one for 20 years, I am painfully aware that in many subdivisions parents with many children are not welcome and I've sensed the need for something like this. I've developed about 20 subdivisions and have built more than 2,000 homes in St. Louis county and I've seen large families discriminated against time and time again. I've old houses to them and then have seen the ones on either side drag for months. I've had to turn down big families who wanted to buy or rent because I knew the neighboring owners would object. And I made up my mind that some day I'd develop a subdivision devoted exclusively to big families for, to my mind, they are the backbone of the nation.'

* * *

"The eldest of the 11 children of Charles F. Vatterott and the late Mrs. Vatterott, the founder of Mary Ridge is the father of eight, six of whom are still living. From experience he knows the difficulties that beset parents rearing a large family and, in his own way, he's 'always wanted to help lighten the burden for those brave enough to have them.'

"He knows, too, from experience, what it means to be one of a large family — the companionship of brothers and sisters who can get up a baseball game at a moment's notice, or at least a quintet for basketball — and the character that a big family fosters— the sense of responsibility that must be developed, the unselfishness that come from sharing, the consideration that naturally results from having to think of others.

" 'I founded Mary Ridge,' he explained simply, 'as a non-profit subdivision to give home ownership opportunity and encouragement to parents, regardless of nationality or creed, brave enough, unselfish enough and God-fearing enough to have large families in this birth-control crazed world.'

"A devout Catholic, whose children join him each night for family prayers in their home on Natural Bridge road, Vatterott has placed his project 'under the protection of the patroness of motherhood — Mary, the Mother of Christ' — hence the name, Mary Ridge, and the explanation of its colors, blue and white, which appear on all the billboards and signs and comprise the color scheme of the free bus which transports the children to and from the near-by public, Lutheran and Catholic schools.

"Less than two years old, with the last of the 100 houses planned rapidly nearing completion, Mary Ridge is already 80 per cent occupied. Many of the houses were sold before they were built and before the end of the first year, 50 had been built, sold and were occupied.

* * *

"Consisting of 53½ acres, three and a half acres of which has been set aside for playgrounds, Mary Ridge is divided into 100 one-half-acre lots, the houses on which, although different exteriorly, have pretty much the same floor plan — a large living room and kitchen, three bedrooms and a bath on the first floor, with a basement garage.

"Explaining the financing of the project, its sponsor said that originally the plan was to sell the whole 100 houses to large families on a non-profit basis but, realizing that this might result in too many children in one area and consequent overcrowding of schools in the vicinity, he hit upon a fifty-fifty idea that goes like this:

"Fifty per cent of the houses are sold at an average price of $3,000 (those who bought earlier paid slightly less and those who bought after the cost of materials went up, slightly more), with a down payment of approximately $250 and a monthly rent, including taxes and insurance, of about $29.60, arranged on a 20-year basis. This is at actual cost and is available only to families with four or more children. The other 50 per cent are sold to families with one, two, three or no children, for $3,500 and this $500 profit is used to build tennis, handball, volley ball and badminton courts; softball and baseball diamonds; to grade the playgrounds and provide equipment; to build a shelter house with a large fireplace; to maintain the school bus; to furnish flower and vegetable seeds to the residents and to provide prizes for garden contests to encourage the beautification of grounds. Hence, all the profits go back into the subdivision for improvements and upkeep, and ultimately everything will belong to its property owners.

* * *

"The average family in the development, Vatterott said, has five or six children. Some have four; one seven, and a few, one, two or three. Several young couples with no children have bought homes there, he said, and have cheerfully paid the $500 extra to supply the needs of the subdivision and thus relieve the large families of that responsibility.

" 'Some people,' he said, 'have thought there must be a catch in this non-profit idea, but our books are open for anyone who wants to see them. It's simply something I've wanted to do for a long time and I'm getting a lot of satisfaction out of it.

" 'Of course, we've had a few who didn't get the idea and who got pretty sore about having to pay more simply because they didn't have any children, but, as we explained, this place was established primarily for large families and if they didn't want to buy under those conditions, that was all right with us.'

" 'We've been very careful about the people to whom we've sold and we've absolutely refused to sell to anyone who wants to use the property simply as an investment. These houses are for people who are going to live in them and I know they have been a Godsend to a lot of large families. Some have even had to borrow the down payment and for others who were worthy but didn't have it, we've made arrangements. One family that's moving in right now, had been asked to move from the flat it had occupied for several years because of the children. They disturbed the rest of a truck driver who lived over them and had to sleep in the daytime because he worked at night.'

"Vatterott made it clear that Mary Ridge is not a Government project. The F.H.A. refused to finance it, but he succeeded in interesting a group of men who operate a local building and loan association and they agreed to finance it.

" 'Without their co-operation,' he said, 'Mary Ridge could never have been built but they saw the good in it and their foresight made it possible. Today they'll tell you that these mortgages and deeds of trust are some of the best they have on their books.' "

Behind the War

Bulgarian Neutrality Myth; Turkey Is Next

TURKEY and Bulgaria are about to be added to the long list of small nations submerged in the tidal wave of the present war; because, the realities of geography exposed them to attack.

They were so situated that they became weak buffers between gigantic mastodonic opponents.

Bulgaria, of course, is already under German control and harbors a sizable contingent of German and Italian troops. Her territory is studded with military airfields, which the self-invited "collaborators" from Central Europe have constructed since they moved in several months ago.

In spite of this occupation and practical annexation, the Bulgars had maintained their fictional neutrality.

Within the last few weeks this fiction was so weakened that its farce was apparent even in the Balkan wonderland of intrigue, double-crossing, pretenses and diplomacy.

Bulgarian mobilization; activity at Bulgar ports by "visiting firemen" from the Reich; strange maneuvers wherein Bulgaria purchased Italian warships and molded them into a Black Sea fleet; bitter warnings delivered by Moscow to Sofia; Bulgarian demands upon Turkey for opening of the Dardanelles; whisperings of the imminent declaration of a new war — all these recent items mean that the breathing period of Bulgarian neutrality is drawing to a close.

The strategic requirements of the German high command, with respect to the forthcoming onslaught upon the Near and Middle East, take precedence over fiction.

Britain has sold American lend-lease planes to Turkey, because Turkey was unable to purchase planes from Germany. Britons call this sale "one of the most brilliant accomplishments of British diplomacy."

Britain is already massing troops in Egypt for the forthcoming offensive. Sea warfare has been stepped up in the Mediterranean. General Wavell has consulted with British and Russian militarists regarding the forthcoming offensive in Iran and Iraq; while he masses Indian and colonial troops along the borders of Beluchistan.

* * *

Bulgaria is only a useful stepping stone toward the objectives the Nazis are reconnoitering, now that their mechanized drives are reaching into the Donetz basin.

The Black Sea, useful as it may be as a line of supply and communication for the German armies operating in Russia and the Caucasus, is but an incident in the Nazis' bold scheme to launch an offensive in the Near East. It is the eastern and southeastern shores of the Black Sea which the Nazis seek.

Accordingly, the future plans of the Nazis hold more danger for Turkey than they do even for Russia's Black Sea coastline where the oil pipe lines come down to the coast.

Turkey blocks the path to Iran and Iraq, and the Nazis have to blast the Turks out of the way to go from Russia to the rich oil fields of the East.

The Bulgar demands were only the opening wedge to pry Turkey from its strategic position.

Turkey stands athwart the highway traversed by Alexander the Great on his march to Egypt. Turkey blocks the land route to India. Most important, Turkey is the barrier between the Nazi *wehrmacht* and precious oil — a barrier far weaker, as the evidence accumulated in the last three months would indicate, than the human barrier which Soviet Russia has thrown up behind the Dneiper.

Turkey, likewise, personifies the hurdle lying between the Nazi horde and the enemy the Nazis must destroy if they are to acquire, hold and exploit the oil fields of the Middle East — the Army of General Wavell.

* * *

Perhaps, Turkey may collaborate with the Nazis — this is the omnipresent fear of Britons and Russians.

It is easy to understand, in view of these facts, why the British are pouring men and supplies up through the Near East to the southern borders of Turkey in an effort to reinforce the Turko borders while there is still time.

Wavell must hold the extreme left of the line from Iran to the Mediterranean.

Equally evident, is the reason why London has warned Ankara against any violation, however disguised, of the treaty regulations governing the use of the Straits.

In the plans visibly shaping up, Hitler's generals are seeking to compound the advantage given them thus far by British inactivity in North Africa and on the Western European front.

Turkey, faced by a German-directed Bulgar mobilization above Thrace, is confronted also by a German concentration in Greece aimed over the Aegean at her flank, and still another German threat via the Black Sea that is being presently organized in German-held Roumanian Black Sea ports.

Thus, Turkey watches the situation which might make of it either an Iran or Iraq, or even another Holland or Belgium.

Propagandists Smear Marshal Petain

HOW REFUGEES can completely distort the facts concerning the internal conditions in France is most ably revealed by David Darrah, of the Chicago Tribune Press Service.

He writes:

"A study of newspapers and magazines, particularly those from the Eastern states where the French refugees are concentrated, and letters received by Frenchmen from friends in the United States, indicates many beliefs about conditions in France which are wide of the truth.

"Notably erroneous impressions are:

"1. That Chief of State Marshal Henri Philippe Petain is senile and decrepit and without vitality.

"2. That the Germans are deliberately trying to wipe out the French race.

"3. That the Germans are appropriating all the foodstuffs in France.

"4. That a reign of terrorism prevails.

"To anyone living in France and able to have first hand knowledge, the idea of picturing Petain as senile and incompetent is incredible," the correspondent replies. "Petain, as the public here can easily see, is remarkably vigorous in mind and body. Every day he accomplishes an astonishing amount of work. This correspondent has accompanied him on many tours of the country and has checked up on his remarkable stamina during 10 to 12 hours of ceremonial speech making and receptions.

"This week, for instance, Petain spent two days touring the Savoy region. Returning to Vichy on Wednesday he received visitors, studied documents, and inaugurated an art exhibition. He received diplomats and civil servants all day today and attended a celebration of the anniversary of last year's defense of Dakar, French West Africa. The rest of the week Petain will preside at cabinet councils and on Sunday he will take to the road again to inaugurate the international fair at Lyons. This correspondent has seen Petain jump off a moving train before it actually came to a stop in the station.

* * *

"It is equally apparent here that no reign of terror exists. Sporadic acts of violence have taken place in the German occupied Paris region and have been suppressed ruthlessly, but complete calm and order prevails in unoccupied France, regardless of misrepresentations spread in the United States by propagandists.

"A high French government authority today made the following comment:

" 'There is no reason to believe that the Germans want to destroy the French race. On the contrary, they treat our prisoners fairly. The requisitioning of food in France by the Germans is solely for the occupying forces and does not reduce the standard of life in France below that of Germany. Besides, the Germans, understanding the necessity of French collaboration in the new European order, would be ill-advised to lower the strength of the French race.

" 'If the food supplies have been deficient during the last year it is because of war effects, including bad distribution, the transport breakdown, and disorganization. The food shortage also is due to the fact that the blockade prevents imports of food upon which France once depended. It is now adjusting its economy to the new currents.

"During this period of readjustment, the American Quakers (Friends society) have been doing remarkable work in feeding children and mothers. Every one in France recognizes that fact and thanks them.

" 'No one who is informed has the impression that Adolf Hitler makes a fool of Marshal Petain or plays with him as a cat plays with a mouse. Petain keeps his head and judges his policies in the interests of France. He sees the necessity of European collaboration to avoid wars in the future through the unification of the continent, in which France will have an important part to play.' "

Aid to Soviet Russia May Turn Against Us

No matter how brave and optimistic Moscow's claims may be in the daily war *communiques*, the tone of British reports of Russian resistance are invariably pessimistic.

The British pessimism is not based entirely on Russian military reports which tell belatedly of Nazi successes. As a matter of fact, the Russians up to the fall of Kiev and Poltava, seemed to be doing as well as any other army in stemming the Nazi advance. The Russian armies still had plenty of room to retreat.

In fact, the Russians have done much better than the British in holding up the Germans; for the British are still trying to convince themselves that Churchill's Dunkirk debacle was a "moral victory." As a matter of grim fact, the only reason that the British could have staged that evacuation was the Nazis' lack of sea transportation.

The British do not trust the Soviet in any way, shape or form; and the British do not intend to be tricked by Stalin again. They have been deceived too many times.

Already, there is a story circulated in European capitals, and known in Washington, that Stalin will not only consider a compromise peace with Hitler, but that such negotiations are in fact under way.

The Soviet conversations with the Finns were, in reality, conferences with the Nazis, Finland's allies and masters. Stalin was reportedly seeking, through Finland, an armistice with Hitler.

The partitioning of Russia has already been considered. According to the plan, Stalin will retain his refuge in Moscow; but Hitler will take Ukrainia as far East as the Urals.

* * *

The canny British have not risked men, money or machines in Russia, or in any offensive designed to lift the pressure from Russia.

The possibility of the double-cross surrender of Russia was considered by Generals Wavell and Auchinlek, and they have, consequently, moved their armies into position to counteract any moves which Russia might make.

British strategy has already erected an anti-Axis line in the Near and Middle East, to check either Nazis or Russians advancing through Iraq upon the British Empire in the East.

British statesmen know that one of the conditions for Stalin's surrender will be a Russian attack launched from Turkestan and Iraq on Beluchistan and India. Hitler once enjoined this very tactic upon Soviet Russia, back in the days of the Hitler-Molotov conferences.

* * *

At the outset of the Russo-German war, American naval officers recommended that the British dispatch a strong naval force to Murmansk, to insure a port for the delivery of an expeditionary force and war supplies.

They advocated that the British take Spitzbergen to insure the safety of Murmansk, and the protection of a line of supply and communication.

The British, however, were content to destroy a few collieries in Spitzbergen, but they have not sent any expeditionary force to Murmansk.

The Royal Air Force fliers now in the Russian service are relatively few, and constitute only "token aid" to Soviet Russia.

Evidently, the British do not want a lot of their expensive equipment to fall into the hands of the Germans should Stalin decide to double-cross the "democrats" and surrender

Meanwhile, the reports come regularly from Moscow, "we are winning," "we are counter-attacking and throwing the Germans back."

London analyzes these reports and comments, "Oh yeah!"

* * *

Obviously, the Russo-British alliance, the chief provision of which was that Russia would not sign a separate peace with Germany, was shot full of holes at the very time of its signing.

Open declarations of mutual aid were only empty promises to disguise a deep and lasting mutual distrust.

Britain didn't trust Russia; for Russia had signed with Germany after delivering to Germany all of the Franco-British war plans.

Soviet Russia has never trusted Britain; for Britons have been outspoken in their wish that Germany and Russia would fight each other to the death.

Therefore, the best interests of Soviet Russia and Great Britain seemed to be served most efficiently by their dependence upon the perennial "sap," the United States of America.

England looks to America to pull Russia's chestnuts out of the fire; and Stalin hopes eagerly that we can prevent Japan from attacking Russia in the rear and that we can send war machines to the front in great numbers.

We realize that this "is a hard saying and not easy to understand," because the United States of America does not want to lose its tanks and planes to the Reich any more than Britain does.

But the plain fact of the matter is that Prime Minister Churchill reminded Americans that if Soviet Russia was to remain in the war and eventually defeat the Nazis, America would have to step up its production and transport of war materials to the fighting front.

Mr. Churchill told the Americans, and British workers and citizens, that they must be prepared to "make great sacrifices" for Russia.

The United States already has determined to cut down its own Army in order to ship more of our defense equipment to the beleaguered Communists.

* * *

Meanwhile, Soviet conferees with British and American statesmen and traders have made it known that unless the Red armies receive "sufficient equipment," Russia will surrender to Germany.

Stalin is bargaining with Britain and America in the hour of Russia's greatest need, just as he bargained before the signing of the Russo-German friendship pact, and before the declaration of the present war.

Stalin has already told the Anglo-American mission the terms of his surrender to Germany and used them as a threat to extort more supplies from the United States!

Thus, Britain has promised to divert almost 100 per cent of our lease-lend supplies to British and Russian troops in Iraq, where they will do the Empire the most good.

The United States has promised great loans to Soviet Russia and increased shipments of war material directly to Vladivostok.

The United States has promised to establish an Alaska-Siberian ferry route for airplanes, as well as to send supply ships through the inland seas to Iranian ports where war materials will be shipped over the single-track Iranian railroad to Russia.

Despite this aid, Britain and America plainly forsee that their own weapons and engines of war may be used against them by combined Russian-German troops.

Why Is Russia Hoarding?

The *United States News* (September 26) prints the following thought-provoking paragraph:

"Soviet Russia recently refused to dip into reserve stocks to arm a refugee Polish army on the Russian front, although Britain and U. S. supplied the army of 200,000 with uniforms. Reports in Washington are that Russia is taking no chances of emerging too weak from the present struggle."

Why is Russia hoarding guns, refusing to turn them over to Polish prisoners recently released from Soviet prisons? Two reasons might be advanced. One is that the Communist government did not trust the Poles with guns for fear they would attempt to avenge the stab in the back Russia gave Poland when Hitler invaded.

The other reason is that the Communist government is hoarding guns for the inevitable "peace." They know with the overthrow of Hitler, Europe will be a seething cauldron of hate, greed, and ambition, a perfect setting for their world-wide Communist revolution. They know the people will be conditioned by the sufferings of war for revolution.

Are they holding up guns and military equipment, so that they might be the most powerful military unit on the European continent to impose their credo by fire and sword and gun?

Communism will have to be imposed by military might because the people of Europe who have seen its diabolical fury and naked heinousness will never accept it peacefully.

If the People Only Knew

Invasion of Europe, Not 'Defense', is Plan

NOT DEFENSE of the United States, but "invasion of Europe has been the policy of the Administration from the beginning," said an August editorial in the *Chicago Sunday Tribune*.

"To have admitted this before the election would have been political suicide, hence the deceit," the editorial added.

"What is done to 'defend the United States' is everywhere subordinated to this evil end," the *Tribune* charges.

"Radio and motion pictures, both peculiarly vulnerable to Government intimidation, have been forced to become ballyhoo agencies.

"Public funds support the propaganda: The W.P.A. is recruiting 'air wardens' in communities 5,000 miles from any potential hostile air base!

"As the Army has suffered by the policy of deceit, so has the Navy. The directors of the policy are less concerned with a Navy to defend America than with a propaganda Navy with officers in uniform on the streets to stir up the war spirit.

"As with the Army, the Navy is blameless. It is the *victim of civilian policy!*

"And so with priorities and allocation of materials. The purpose is less to provide materials for national defense than to *interfere with civilian production* for the purpose of giving an appearance of war conditions."

* * *

Armed invasion of the continent of Europe by combined British and American forces is the only way of defeating Germany and crushing Naziism.

So said two of Great Britain's leading militarists, Generals Wavell and Auchinlek, months ago.

Messrs. Roosevelt and Churchill discussed the proposed invasion at their sea meeting, although the conclusions arrived at were not indicated in their "eight points of platitude" released to the public at the conclusion of their secret consistory.

More recently, Mr. Paul Mallon, King Features syndicate columnist, declared that "United States Government officials back from London say privately that *we will have to get into the war in the final action-invasion of the continent — if Britain is to win.*"

Thus, the factual news story is unfolding virtually as SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine made bold to predict when the Roosevelt Administration sought, and obtained, repeal of the embargo provision of the *Neutrality Act*.

The more rabid war-mongers such as the *Fight for Freedom Committee* are all for a declaration of war immediately.

This brings us to question of United States preparedness.

Mr. Mallon stated that British officials say an invasion of the continent will require 50,000 tanks, most of them medium size, some of the heavy.

But, continued Mr. Mallon, this country "produced only 50 medium tanks in July and will not be producing 1,000 a month until the end of 1942."

He added that it will take at least four years, at the present schedule, to produce the 40,000 tanks estimated to be necessary for an invasion attempt.

Production of light tanks, which is comparatively high, "sounds good, but it does not mean anything," Mallon declared, since the Balkan and Russian campaigns have proved the inefficiency of light, thinly armored tanks against heavier tanks and .37 millimeter guns.

In the production of bombing planes America also is lamentably weak, according to the figures. Under the present O.P.M. program we will average from 30 to 40 a month for the remainder of 1941 and will not be producing 500 heavy bombers a month until June, 1943 — nearly 2 years hence. Germany, the report continues, is already making 200 a month!

The planned invasion of the continent of Europe, then, seems to have two important "ifs."

It might succeed *IF* we can transport 10-million men across the ocean and land them on enemy soil under fire of enemy guns and bombers; *IF* England gives us time to produce the necessary equipment, by keeping up the fighting for 2 or 3 or 4 more years.

* * *

"The preparations which are being made are in excess of any possible requirement of the national defense or anything reasonably within the contemplation of our national purposes," says Senator Alva Adams of Colorado, chairman of the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee.

The Senator's remarks referred to a recent *Chicago Tribune* story to the effect that funds already allocated for ordnance, aircraft, tanks, and other combat equipment would be enough for 10-million men, including an air force of 2-million with 80,000 planes, an armored force of 100 divisions with 45 million tanks and more than 400 infantry divisions, 100 of them motorized. And there would be enough left over for a 100 per cent reserve supply of ordnance, tanks, and all other equipment except aircraft.

The Senator went on to ridicule the talk of any enemy invasion of the Western Hemisphere.

"All this talk about the danger of an invasion of the Western Hemisphere is so much 'moonshine.' Such an invasion would require 2-million men and they would have to be brought over at one time. There are not enough ships in the world to bring over this many men, with their equipment and to supply them. In the World War it took us more than a year to transport 2-million men and their supplies to France.

"As for Britain, Russia and other countries using all this equipment against Hitler, what are they going to do with it? How would you transport it? Where will you get the manpower to use equipment on such a gigantic scale?

"It seems to me that we have just gone crazy!"

* * *

And Donald M. Nelson, executive director of the Supply Priorities and Allocations Board, says:

"Ours is a job of fantastic size, *the most fantastic any nation ever faced, and the public does not yet understand it!*"

That even the S.P.A.B. head has been startled by the magnitude of the military and civilian requirements was disclosed by John W. Love, of the Scripps-Howard newspapers.

At a recent press conference, Mr. Nelson was reminded that the British requests in the Spring had been described as "astronomical."

It would be correct, he admitted, to call the Russian needs, in comparison, "super-astronomical!"

Mr. Nelson continued:

"Perhaps, in view of what has been developing, we should have started the industrial expansions a year ago. ***But if we had proposed to the American public the undertakings we are now arranging for, we'd have been ridden out of Washington on a rail!***"

* * *

The magnitude of the "paper requirements" is such as to be almost beyond the belief of manufacturing people.

Indeed, none other than William S. Knudsen, Director General of the Office of Production Management, has repeatedly stated in "off the record" sessions:

"Don't ask me what we need all this armament for! I'm only a production man. I have nothing to say about how much shall be produced."

Put It This Way

"LET US assume we are at war with any or every Republic in South America. Were some European nation to track our seas with her ships carrying the ammunition and implements of war to land them at some South American port to help South America to defeat us, how would we feel and how would we act?" asks an editorial in *Ave Maria* magazine.

"We would be very angry and the radio would be running full time stirring up national consciousness against European meddling. We would sink every ship we could. And we would sink many because we have, probably, the world's best navy.

"Well, that expression of meddling is our *modus agendi* in this present European war.

"We do not like Hitler who is battling Stalin. Therefore, we elect to help who, at the moment, is fighting him. But we do not like Stalin any better than we like Hitler. He has been trying stealthily for years to fit us into his communistic pattern. He has been secretive, dishonest, designing, and surreptitiously hostile. Yet we give him money, arms and ammunition; to Stalin whose thoughts and purposes are as remote from our thoughts and purposes as the thoughts and purposes of a gangster are from those of an honest homesteader."

America Already Invaded

MR. ROOSEVELT and the War Dealers continually complain that the people "do not realize the grave danger facing the nation."

On the contrary, the people realize the danger full well; but it is not the imaginary danger of a Hitler invasion ballyhooed by the War Dealers in order to hide the real peril.

The chief menace facing America is the invasion of our national, state and municipal governments by the Reds, an invasion that is fast approaching the stage of complete conquest.

For over ten years now, this stealthy assault has been going steadily forward, aided and abetted behind the scenes by those who are loudest in their bellowings to defend democracy by going to war.

It is a matter of grave significance that the present Administration has been cultivating ever closer relations with the Communists.

Since 1933, when the Soviet dictatorship was accorded recognition by the President, more and more Reds have secured key positions in the Federal Government.

The labor movement has become a virtual front organization of the Comintern.

And now we are being taxed and propagandized to give all aid to the Bolshevik regime in Russia and its program of world revolution!

A great many people, of course, find it difficult to conceive how there can be any connection between Marxism, which is ostensibly bent on overthrowing capitalism and private property, and the international plutocracy, which is all for bigger and bigger financial and industrial monopoly.

But "monopoly" is the keynote of the entire racket.

In short, Communism and the other brands of Marxism are a gigantic hoax whereby the financial internationalists will seize all the remaining *bourgeois* wealth and property which they do not already control and, under the guise of socialization, will establish a world monopoly of industry and finance in which everybody will be reduced to the level of serfs; while being told like the dupes in Russia that they are the "owners" of the "mines, the factories, the banks," and so on.

The truth of the matter is that the so-called proletariat in Russia are as much the owners of the socialized economy as an underpaid janitor in a third-class New York hotel is the "owner" of the establishment in which he works.

And that is the same huge fraud which the Reds and crypto-Reds over here are planning to foist onto the American people under the guise of "social progress."

The plan of the war and revolution racketeers is simply this: the War Dealers, by yelling "Defend democracy all over the world," hope to ruin the country by plunging us into a total war.

Then, in the inevitable disorder and bankruptcy that will ensue, their Marxist confederates holding all the key positions will take over the controls, and with the aid of the old reliable weapon of the Red Terror will massacre all real Americans and establish a Soviet dictatorship.

* * *

Now that we have been inveigled into becoming an ally of the "Kremlin Criminal," we shall be treated to a still stronger dose of all aid to Communism, which is currently getting a whitewash from such eminent dupes as the Dean of Canterbury, the Archbishop ditto, Reverend Hobson, and others of their ilk.

Even Justice Murphy, Bishop Hurley and Mr. Roosevelt go to some exertion to make the Red Menace appear respectable, harmless and worthy of being defended with the American taxpayers' last dollar and last son, by contrasting it with the worse horrors they represent for the German nation.

One wonders if these prominent individuals realize what they are doing in thus aiding and abetting the most monstrous conspiracy that has ever threatened Christian civilization; a conspiracy that has wreaked havoc in every country where it was allowed to gain headway; a conspiracy that has destroyed in Russia alone more human lives than were lost in all major wars from 1860 up to the present.

The stock argument of the Red-coddlers that the Soviet government and the Communist International are distinct entities in no wise connected with each other is a sophistical camouflage to fool the gullible. Comrade Oumansky, of course, would never be so indiscreet as to be caught hob-nobbing with Earl Browder, Wm. Z. Foster or Israel Amter, in or outside of the Soviet Embassy. But that does not alter the fact that the Comintern and its American subsidiary, the Communist Party of the United States, are as much a part of the Soviet government, and beholden to it, as is the British Intelligence Service with regard to the Foreign Office, or the Auslands branch of the *Gestapo vis-a-vis* the Nazi government.

The only difference between the latter two organizations and the Russian one is that the Comintern is far greater in scope and a much greater menace to America.

* * *

Indeed, the Comintern with its various affiliated national parties is nothing less than a gigantic espionage and fifth-column organization primed to spread civil war and revolution in every land on orders from the ruler of the Kremlin and his secret backers.

A few years ago, a prominent Communist boasted privately to some sympathizers as follows:

"If and when the Communist movement is outlawed in the United States, we will work underground and use the same methods that brought about the Russian revolution by assassinating the government officials who are our enemies and scaring the others to death! We will plant 'cells' in their fashionable clubs and their homes and poison their food. We did it in Russia and succeeded."

Another camouflage of the Red-coddlers is that the Socialists, Pinks and other Leftists who swarm in every branch of public life today, are harmless. They are supposed to be well-meaning liberals and defenders of "democracy." Yet they are all undeniably Marxists and they always collaborate with the Communists.

Such was the case in the Popular Front governments of Leon Blum and Largo Caballero, Socialist premiers. The Caballero regime during the Spanish civil war was controlled by the Russian Reds, and the Socialists, Pinks and New Dealers of Spain supported the Red Terror.

* * *

In the strong-box of the Wall Street internationalists, who secretly rule the Communist racket, lie the alternate plans for putting into execution the Red Terror as soon as M-Day (M for *Meranus*) dawns.

The Dies Committee has unearthed ample evidence of the plot and its backers; yet the latter are so dominant that the evidence has been deliberately suppressed.

According to the smothered evidence, more than three million men of military ability who are members of Communist front organizations will be used to form "a People's Army under *No. 2 Plan* or under *No. 3 Plan* when the time is ripe to establish a Soviet America."

They will take over or wreck arsenals, arms depots, armories, police stations, telephone exchanges, radio and telegraph networks, airdromes, and all public services; while squads of trade-union goons and G.P.U. killers will assassinate public officials and cow the people in general.

Hitler at his worst could never equal a menace to America like that already under way by the Reds!

It is obvious, therefore, that anyone who preaches that America must join a foreign war to defend democracy, the Four Freedoms, the Eight Platitudes or whatnot, is consciously or unconsciously aiding the schemes of the war and revolution racketeers.

America's war is right here at home, against the deadly termites who are stealthily preparing to destroy the nation and enslave our people.

The same tactics and the same bait used to enslave Russia are being employed here by the Reds and crypto-Reds.

They promise peace, prosperity, liberty, equality, fraternity, or anything under the sun in order to hoodwink the gullible and the indifferent.

If the American people allow their country to fall a prey to the Marxist racket, they will have only their own lethargy and stupidity to blame, and their children's children will pay the penalty in blood, tears and degradation for generations to come.

Orders From Home

CAPTAIN Alex Stratford Cunningham-Reid, Conservative, told the House of Commons last week that British propaganda in the United States will have to be intensified.

"The America First Committee," he said, according to the *Herald* of Lake Geneva, Wis., "last month increased its membership from 10,450,000 to something over 15-million.

• "Even for a country with a population as large as that of America, the organization is extremely large."

Lindbergh's 'Last' Speech

A Nation's Heritage of Freedom at Stake

ADDRESSING an America First rally in Fort Wayne, Indiana, on Friday, October 3rd, former Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh delivered the message that he would give the American people if it were the last speech he would be permitted to make.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, with permission, quotes the complete manuscript of Mr. Lindbergh's address:

"When I speak to you here in Fort Wayne tonight, I realize that it may be my last address.

"How much longer free speech will be possible in the United States, I do not know. But I do know that an Administration which can throw this country into undeclared naval war against the will of our people, and without asking the consent of Congress, can by similar methods prevent freedom of speech among us.

"There are many signs that such action may be taken in the near future, with as little warning as accompanied the occupation of Iceland.

"Those of us who oppose war do not know from one week to the next what censorship we will be subjected to. Pressure of every sort is brought to bear upon us. We find lecture halls closed to our speakers, while the doors are wide open even to foreigners who advocate war.

"We find propaganda from Moscow in the banner headlines of most of our newspapers, while the facts and arguments against war are relegated to back pages if they are printed at all.

"Smear campaigns are organized against everyone who opposes intervention. When our arguments cannot be answered by logic, they are answered by bombast and calumny. If we have friends on the Government pay roll, we hesitate to be seen talking to them because we know that, just as in the totalitarian countries, they may lose their jobs as a result.

"Now the cry is being raised that in order to protect freedom and democracy throughout the world, freedom of speech must be withheld at home. And so, tonight, while I hope it may not be the case, I shall speak to you *as though this were my last address.*

"I shall discuss the conditions which will confront us, and the procedure I believe we should follow, if free speech ends in America.

"If free speech ends in this country, it means that we are no longer a free people. It means that we are about to enter dictatorship, and probably foreign war. It means that we will be forced to do this against the will of our people, just as we have been forced into an undeclared naval war against the will of our people.

"What does the 'will of the people' mean? The interventionists claim they are following the will of the people, and that the people have approved the steps they have taken toward war. It is true that the people have approved most of these steps, but why did they approve them?

"When the majority of Americans approved lifting the arms embargo, when they approved the transfer of battleships to England, when they approved the *Lease-Lend Bill,* and all those other steps 'short of war,' they approved them because the Administration said that these were steps to increase the security of our nation, and *to decrease the likelihood of war.*

"In other words, the people believed what was told them by their President and his Administration. They believed their elected leaders when they said that all those steps were not only *'short of war'* but especially planned to keep America *out of war.* They had implicit faith in the promises of the President and his Administration. They believed, and now they find they have been deceived.

"The interventionists claim we have reached our present position by taking steps which the majority of people approved of, and that, therefore, the will of the people has prevailed. The fact is that we have reached this position by following the false promises of the interventionists, and of our Administration in Washington.

"Not one step the Administration has taken in these last two years was placed honestly before our people as a step toward war. The interventionist leaders knew that not one step could have been taken if it had been placed honestly before the people as a step toward war. And so they consciously and deceptively called them steps 'short of war,' and for the purpose of American defense.

* * *

"When we elected President Roosevelt, we elected him on promises that he would strive for peace. In fact, we elected him on actual promises of peace, stated again, and again, and again. We elected him on a party platform that was against war. If the will of the people, or democracy, or representative government mean anything at all, they mean that President Roosevelt was morally bound to make every effort, and to take every opportunity, to keep this country out of foreign war.

"But, instead of seizing every opportunity to draw us further from the war, the President and his Administration have not missed a single chance to push us closer to it. Instead of avoiding incidents of war, they have created them. Instead of following our mandate to stay out, they have, by subterfuge and dictatorial procedure, led us in.

"They have not only turned their backs on their campaign promises, but they have been treating our Congress more and more as the German Reichstag has been treated under the Nazi regime. Congress, like the Reichstag, is not consulted.

"The issue of war or peace has never been put up to the people nor to its duly elected representatives in Congress because the President and his Administration know that the people would not accept it. *They dare not tell us that these steps mean war, and they dare not tell us what war means!*

"They dare not tell us that to crush Germany means to invade Europe, and that to invade Europe probably means the most devastating war of all history.

"We are tired of political promises and wishful thinking. We remember being told years ago that Germany was not economically able to prepare herself for a major war.

"After she had prepared, we were told that her people would revolt before they would fight under Hitler.

"After the war began, we were told that England and France would win if we would only sell them arms.

"Last Spring, the Allies would win if we would only lend them arms.

"A few weeks later, they would win if we would only bring them arms.

"Now, our Navy is involved, and there is already talk of sending mechanized divisions.

"When the war started, the French army was going to break the Siegfried Line and save Poland. Then, the British navy was going to blockade Germany to defeat. Then, we were going to send so many airplanes to England that she could bomb Germany to defeat.

"All of these statements have proven false.

"But those of us who questioned them at the time they were made were accused of being 'fifth columnists,' and 'Nazi agents.' The statements you hear today to the effect that only naval, and air, and specialized units, will be needed to win the war for England are simply a continuation of the same subterfuge and propaganda. They are made by the same people who were wrong before.

"As a nation, we have been led along like children, with sugared promises and candied pills. Our leaders think that is the easiest way to obtain their desires. But we are not children; we are supposed to be the free citizens of a free nation. Free citizens prefer to know the truth and hear the facts, so let us face them.

* * *

"If we are going to war against the Axis Powers, we cannot count on a series of miracles to come to our assistance. If we are going to wage war successfully, we must prepare for war on a basis of probabilities, and not on the basis of our desires. If we are going into a war to defeat Germany, it means that we must create military forces large enough and strong enough to cross the Atlantic and force a landing on the European coast against the greatest military power the world has ever known.

"If we intend to do that — if it is possible at all — it will necessitate turning this country into a military State on standards similar to those of Germany herself. It means that, probably for a decade, and possibly for several generations, America must be a uniformed and regimented nation.

"It means that we will have to raise an army, not of one million men or of two million men, but probably of at least ten million men.

"It means that every family in America will have its wounded and its dead.

"It means that we must find some way we don't yet know, to transport troops across an ocean and land them on a hostile coast under the bombardment of a strong enemy air force.

(Continued on Page 13)

A Nation's Heritage of Freedom at Stake

(Continued from Page 12)

"And if we should be able to do all this, what would we have gained? If this war continues much longer, it will bring prostration, famine, and disease, on a scale we have never seen before — to the Democracies as well as to the other countries.

"If we attempt an invasion of Europe, we are practically certain to end up with conditions in our own country far worse than those we went abroad to fight against.

"There is not a chance for freedom, moderation, tolerance, and idealism to emerge from a prolonged war. And if we are not fighting for a world in which these qualities can exist, what are we fighting for?

"We are not fighting for territory; we are not fighting for the soviet system of life; we certainly are not fighting for the pleasure of war.

"What have we to gain from either a spiritual or a material standpoint?

"This is the picture that lies before us. This is the picture that the interventionist leaders will not show you. Some of them do not show it because they can not or will not face it themselves. Others will not show it because they know if you saw it you would not accept it. *They dare not put the issue clearly, and they dare not put it to your vote.*

"While they claim to be the defenders of democracy, in reality they are afraid of it. They are afraid to put democracy to a test right here in their own country; otherwise they would put the issue of war or peace to Congress or to a referendum of the people.

* * *

"It is essential for us to realize that what we used to call representative government, American independence, and the American way of life, is rapidly becoming a thing of the past.

"We are approaching a point where we are no longer governed by the will of the people. We are, in fact, governed by one man who has consistently evaded the checks and balances on which representative government depends — a man who is drawing more and more dictatorial powers into his own hands.

"Recently — no one will ever know the exact date — we began following a road which involves the abandonment of our most fundamental customs and traditions. And since we have been travelling that road, our leaders have rapidly burned the bridges behind us. We must face the fact that you and I and our generation have lost our American heritage. It is no longer simply a case of defending it. It is a case of re-building it. We are entering a period in America that can be compared only to the periods passed through by the nations of Europe during the last generation.

"The only way I can express to you my feeling of the seriousness of the situation we now face is by directing your attention to the experiences of Russia, and Italy, and Germany, and Spain; and now to France and England.

"We in America are approaching similar trials. How long they will last, and what the result will be, I cannot tell.

"I can only say to you that I believe it is now too late for us to avoid going through in an American way what the nations of Europe have been going through in a European way. We are about to meet the greatest test since the Civil War, and possibly the greatest test in our history. How we survive this test depends on our vision, our character, and our courage.

* * *

"As I said at the beginning of my address, there is no way of telling how long we will be able to hold meetings of this kind, wherein we discuss freely and openly the issues that confront our nation. This may be the last time I can talk to you.

"For two years, I have been giving addresses about the war. I have told you the truth as I saw it, and I do not know of a single statement I have made that has been proven false.

"What I said has been distorted, sentences have been removed from their context, motives and meanings have been falsely ascribed, and words have been inserted that I never used; but the original record is there, and on that I stand.

"In making these addresses, I have no motive in mind other than the welfare of my country and my civilization. This is not a life that I enjoy. Speaking is not my vocation, and political life is not my ambition. For the past several years, I have given up my normal life and interests; first, to study the conditions in Europe which brought on this war, and, second, to oppose American intervention.

"I have done this because I believe my country is in mortal danger, and because I could not stand by and see her going to destruction without pitting everything I had against that end. I am moved by no personal interest or animosity.

"I do not speak out of hate for any individuals or any people. But neither have I tried to avoid facts in order to have my speeches politically popular. I have tried, and I shall continue to try, as long as it is possible, to give you the truth without prejudice and without passion.

"Now, I address those of you who have stood firmly together in this campaign against intervention — those of you who believe in a destiny for America that is independent of these everlasting European conflicts. We have assembled, as free men have a right to do, to demand that our representatives in Washington listen to the voice of those who elected them. We will continue to organize and to hold meetings as long as freedom stands erect in America.

"If the time comes when we can no longer meet face to face, as free men in a free country, we will meet together at the elections next year, and by our vote clasp hands though we be a thousand miles apart.

"But what if there are no elections next year?

"The time has come when we must consider even that! Such a condition may not be many steps ahead on the road our President is taking us.

"I can only say that there is still, deep within this country, the spirit that built America; and on that, in the last analysis, we must rely. That spirit burns in men and women like you here tonight; and that spirit is the strength and hope of our nation."

Lindbergh Assailed

"FREEDOM of the press week" got off to a good start with Elsa Maxwell flinging some foul ink against the clean, shining escutcheon of the Lone Eagle.

Charles A. Lindbergh has been assailed by war-mongers, sympathy racketeers, British propagandists and many Jews.

All of this was expected because of his stand for peace. Like 80 per cent of the Americans who believe in him, Lindbergh does not want this country to get into a shooting war to pay off a grudge which the international bankers hold against Hitler.

Who is Elsa Maxwell, you ask?

Reportedly, she is the fat impressario of drawing room activities. It was she who advised the *nouveau riche* and the denizens of the *demi-monde* (known as Park Avenue, Hollywood and Miami Beach) how to throw parties without being thrown into the hoose-gow.

Among her competitors she is known as the "drawing room *dugong*" — the dictionary word for "sea cow!"

* * *

Here is a sample of the literature with which Elsa Maxwell fouls the pages of certain degenerate newspapers. According to her, Lindbergh is worse than a "copperhead," he is a buffoon and a bore. Wrote she:

"There was also the dinner party in California. Parlor games were being played.

"A lovely, long-limbed girl bet the ex-colonel that she could lie on the floor, balance a full glass of water on her forehead — and get to her feet without spilling a drop. Lindbergh took the bet.

"The girl stretched herself out. She was wearing a white evening gown, which clung to her curvilinear body like Salome's seventh veil. The glass was set on her forehead. Slowly, carefully, precisely she raised herself — inch by inch. The whole room was tense. The glass stood, still firm.

"Finally, she rose past the half-way position. She was almost up; one more move and she would have made it. But at this precise moment Lindbergh deliberately stretched out his foot and kicked over the glass.

"Naturally the girl's dress was ruined. Her bare neck and shoulders looked like the spillway of Boulder Dam.

"Some time later the ex-colonel was dining with friends in New York. Dinner was over; and he was toying nervously with his coffee. Suddenly he leaned forward and fixed a Bela Lugosi stare on his hostess. 'What would you say,' he asked, 'if I were to empty my coffee over your dress?'

"The woman merely smiled.

"Without wasting another word Lindbergh flung his whole cup into the dead centre of the woman's gown. For a moment the woman was speechless; then she poured her cup down the front of Lindbergh's dress shirt.

"Practical jokers carry on in such unexpected ways!"

* * *

Naturally, Mr. Lindbergh will not even take time to deny these calumniating, *dugongish* remarks.

Does "freedom of the press" mean that American journalism has come to the low state indicated by Elsa Maxwell?

Her place is in a society circus; not a home newspaper.

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

Soldiers Not Suckers

TO THE EDITOR:

I think it is time that the ex-soldier stood up to be counted and show where he stands on this question of war or peace.

Are we to become "suckers" for the same old gang that took us into the last war and then when it was over and victory left it in their lap, they had no further use for us?

The national commanders of both the Legion and Veterans of Foreign Wars are doing mental acrobatics. One day, it is no aid to Russia; the next, it is no aid to Communism; the next day, it is aid to Russia but no aid to Communism!

The Communists tell us that they are the only ones fighting for Russia. This is all very confusing to some people, but for an ex-soldier to be taken in by it is sad indeed.

Have we become so stupid or forgetful that we can't do our own thinking?

We don't have to hide like cowards behind the skirts of England in Singapore, or Stalin in Siberia.

Wake up, buddies, before it is too late!

Rochester, N. Y. — **V.F.W.**

Veterans Betrayed

TO THE EDITOR:

Now that the American Legion, at its recent convention in Milwaukee, has deserted its membership and its country, for the sake of New Dealism, let those of us who make up the rank and file, and love God and country, repudiate the false leaders who, for the sake of political expediency, gave both God and country an insult.

This is a vital moral question touching the lives of our people; and it is therefore the right and duty of all the chaplains to repudiate this betrayal of our real interests.

It seems to me that when I became a member of the American Legion I was obliged to raise my hand "for God and country" — and I meant it.

But how in the name of sanity can I subscribe to the resolutions put forth by New Deal politicians and passed by such false leadership?

By all means, Veterans and others, buy SOCIAL JUSTICE every week and *read it;* then pass it on, and mention some of the articles you have read to others, so that they too may become interested.

Jersey City, N. J. — **Legionnaire.**

They Ask Us to Forget History

TO THE EDITOR:

Embracing Stalin in an alliance is plain evidence of the true purpose of the British leaders.

The murder of millions, starvation blockades, and bombing of former allies shows callous disregard of humanity and loyalty, when selfish material interests of empire are involved.

Britain has paid gold to American Indians for the scalps of American soldiers to get them off the battle lines! The empire has shot Sepoys and mistreated the wives of Boer soldiers to intimidate resistance to aggression; even raped American women of culture, while on the way to Washington, D. C., through Baltimore to burn our Capitol!

This is the heroic empire of cavaliers depicted by British novelists and historians.

Now we are commanded to forget all past history and remember only the propagandized offenses of other nations for a thousand years past.

How tragic it is that the British are not able to re-edit all history other than American so as to make the ensemble conform to illusionary claims.

A column conductor in a local paper reports that James Roosevelt, born in 1760, and great grandfather of F. D. Roosevelt, was a Tory of New York City and took oath of allegiance to King George Third. After the Revolutionary War, instead of hanging him on Bunker Hill, the American Government pardoned him.

Asa Wheeler, fighting forebear of Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana, led six sons to the Battle of Lexington. In other circumstances it would be quite amusing to read how the descendant of the Tory regards the descendant of patriots from Lexington to Appomattox as "dastardly" and "unpatriotic" because he dares to speak out in defense of American principles and national independence.

Thank God the Wheelers still have the fighting spirit!

Mr. Roosevelt fosters a New Deal of obviously communistic pattern and fights a "new deal" in Europe made necessary by the lack of gold that is stored up in our Kentucky hills.

We take wealth away from our economic royalists and tax the nation to death to give more of it away to foreign economic royalists.

There should be some way to prevent a needless sacrifice of the American people to the British Moloch.

Outside of the alarmed imaginings of British stooges and columnists there are not enough Germans in the world to give us a licking, but there seems to be sufficient of another race of obvious background to scuttle our Ship of State and nail our beautiful starry emblem to the mast below the Union Jack.

Minneapolis, Minn. — **Veritas.**

Patriots Persecuted for Loyalty to Own Country!

TO THE EDITOR:

Well, our great Legion "show" is over. The strings were neatly pulled from Washington. Warmongers like Knox, LaGuardia and McNutt, were sent here to stir up a war atmosphere by the very people who "hate wah!"

Not one genuine American working for peace and the welfare of our country was invited to address the convention.

The war spirit was also pushed by some who are benefiting from the war situation by holding down well-paying jobs. The aide to Lord Halifax (bless him) attended, and Winnie Churchill, the dear 'buddy' of the Legion leaders, sent them a cablegram which clinched their right to use the word "American."

For a long time I have been wondering, as have thousands of others no doubt, how any real American could become so passionately infatuated with some other country as to place that country's welfare above our own. Only recently I found a possible answer in the *Chicago Tribune,* where I read that none of the stock from which F. D. Roosevelt springs ever fought for this country!

The British have been allowed to invade and take over Washington to the extent that there are now 288 members in the British Embassy compared to the former 150. We have 2,800 British subjects cluttering up official Washington, and 1,500 in New York City and elsewhere. Numbers of these foreigners are on the payroll of the United States Government, while millions of Americans are jobless!

The British are so thick in Washington today that they alone are occupying 30 buildings!

Then we have 2,850 known Reds, according to Dies, on the endless string of boards, committees and commissions.

Can we, by any stretch of the imagination, call that an "American" government? Are not we Americans already suffering under a British-Bolshevist "administration"?

For centuries people have been hanged, shot and otherwise punished for disloyalty and treason to their country, but in all history has there ever been until now a nation that has *hounded and persecuted its people for being loyal to their own country?*

No real American can ever be anything but an American first. All who place the welfare of any country above their own are tories of the first water!

An unheard of spectacle before us today is having the use of halls denied to genuine "George Washington" patriots and having them smeared and slandered by persons who themselves ought to be behind the bars!

The British character assassins — past masters at the art which they have practiced for centuries — not only slander and blacken the names of Washington, Lincoln and others who "refused to be ruled by Britain," but have turned their wicked guns upon that clean, fearless, patriotic son of a patriotic father, Charles A. Lindbergh.

They are trying desperately to disgrace him in the eyes of Americans and of the world.

And now Senator Dickstein asks an investigation of the America First Committee! And is that a laugh? — Dickstein of all people to talk to us about "un-Americanism"!

And Chairman Dies, while chasing imaginary Nazis even in far-off South America, cannot see the British have invaded Washington and that their Union Jack threatens to crowd out our own Stars and Stripes!

If we do not want to have our nuns murdered and strung up over the Stations of the Cross in their own pathetic little chapels, it is up to each and every Christian American to see that the horror of Moscow never gets foothold in this country through the loophole of any war alliance.

It is too late for Red Russia to make promises of future Christian co-operation now that Churchill and Roosevelt need Stalin for their invasion of Europe!

Milwaukee, Wisconsin. — **L.B.D.**

Bigger Snakes

TO THE EDITOR:

In his Sept. 11th radio speech the President says "You don't wait for a rattlesnake to strike, you crush him first." That is true, but common sense Americanism would hardly strike at a rattlesnake 3,000 miles away; and at the same time take into its bosom more poisonous and bigger snakes. And that is precisely what we have done with Communists in Federal key positions. We have petted and coddled Communists and their fellow travelers. Harry Bridges is still a virulent menace in our midst.

Lawrenceville, Illinois. — **A.M.G.**

Letters

Take Taxes Off American Homes

TO THE EDITOR:

SOCIAL JUSTICE now seems to be mainly a "comment" paper, which is very interesting, but I hope that you can soon start campaigning on some of the 16 points of the social justice program.

While it is difficult to do things in these disordered times, we might start campaigning to get taxes off private homes and buildings. By doing this could we not take a first step to lick the dishonest money system and save private ownership?

The older men and women have struggled for many years to pay for a home or business building. The taxes assessed against such property nowadays is like "paying the Government rent to live in your own property."

Even though you own the building, when you cannot pay the taxes, your home is confiscated. Let them put the tax on income but not what a man or woman has saved in previous years.

Madison, Wisconsin. — G.B.

False Witnessing

TO THE EDITOR:

I read *America's* list of the different magazines and the questionable reading material they are offering the public. I did not see "*Good Housekeeping*" listed. It also is an offender. Here are two examples:

"*Deep Inside Me*," by Reita Lambert (August issue, page 148), at about the fifth paragraph, you will see these insulting words:

"You enjoy saying that, don't you? You enjoy it the way those fanatical old monks in ancient days enjoyed flaggellating themselves. They reveled in their martyrdom, as you are doing."

And again, in the otherwise charming story by Margaret Cousins, "*Little Brother*" (October issue, page 176):

". . . or else go into a nunnery as a result of being crossed in the kind of love we had not experienced to date."

Evidently, to all those who do not know any better, our convents are filled with all young women who were disappointed in love, and the monks in olden days chastened and mortified themselves because they had nothing better to amuse them.

May God forgive them!

As a Catholic, I am naturally incensed over these slurs upon the Christian faith. If present day writers can't do any better than misrepresent a beautiful religion, and the holy men and women who work to keep religion extant in a pagan world, then it is time for them to sit back and think of an answer to what gave them the idea that they were writers in the first place.

Roslindale, Mass. — H.F.W.

We, the People, Speak

TO THE EDITOR:

I have gritted my teeth and tried hard to control my thoughts, but way back in the projection room of my mind the question keeps bursting forth, "what is the idea?"; "what goes on here!"; "what is going on behind the backs of the American people?"

When 80 per cent of 132-million thinking Americans are saying the same thing to themselves it is bound to register on minds so conscious of social inequalities.

We, the people, seldom speak; all we do is think and thinking alone never gets things done.

People of America, when are you and I going to stop this wishful thinking and at least voice our opinions loudly and forcefully?

Speak out with energy enough that our words will rebound from the deck and sides of their majesties' ship *Prince of Wales* and land back in the lap of the American President.

Somerville, Mass. — A.W.S.

Picket Congressmen?

TO THE EDITOR:

Most of the people opposed to this country's entry into the war are unable to go to Washington to protest against the workings of the small minority which has taken over there.

Some Congressmen have ignored our letters. I, therefore, suggest that the voters get out and picket their recalcitrant Representatives in their home district.

We have got to keep this free nation out of their foreign entanglements and it is the Congressman's duty to keep us out.

Chicago, Ill. — J.M.H.

Play Enemy's Game

TO THE EDITOR:

Ever since I bought the first copy of your magazine early in December, I have made it my business to get it every week.

Luckily there is a fine Catholic youngster living near me who sells them, and brings my copy to my home.

I find SOCIAL JUSTICE always interesting because the articles, comments and letters from your reading public are very fine and intelligent; also because that same "line" and comment is not found in the daily newspapers of whatever shade of political color and belief.

I also make it a point to pass my copy of the magazine on to others, even at times sending it as far as Chicago.

If all the American people could be real sports and read SOCIAL JUSTICE for what it is worth, even if they have their pet peeves or personal grudges, much profit could be gained for all concerned.

However much as I wanted to make this letter short I cannot close without expressing my sincere thanks to the Rev. T.B.C. from Atlantic City, N. J., and to you for publishing the beautiful letter entitled "Unfair to Class Fascist and Nazi," which is an honest portrait of facts in present-day Italy.

My only sorrow is that we Catholics do not more clearly discern the wheat from the chaff and thus contribute far more confusion to the detriment of ourselves, and so play the game our common enemy wants us to play.

Corona, New York. — F.J.

Don't Answer Him — Smear Him!

—From the Chicago Tribune

Will Give Away All the Taxes!

TO THE EDITOR:

The United States Congress voted in March, 1941, $7-billion under *Lease (give away) Lend Act;* and Congress is now considering $6-billion additional, a total of $13-billion.

Total taxes from all sources during 1941 are expected to amount to approximately $13½-billion. This is 13,000 millions of dollars!

Not one cent of this will be spent for American defense, for the payment of American soldiers, for the building of camps, ships, tanks or airplanes for America; or for the cost of running the Government. The give-away commitment will take all that our taxes can raise.

They dare not raise the taxes at the present time to the point where they will cover the bill, because the American people would then realize the cost and the folly of sacrifice required to fight England's war.

Americans do not object to national defense, so long as they do not realize that what is being called national defense is offense on behalf of the British Empire.

— A Believer in America.
Waukesha, Wisconsin.

South Is New Deal

TO THE EDITOR:

It certainly is a wonderful education that loyal decent Americans receive in the columns of your SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Here, in the Deep South, nearly all support goes to the New Deal —that's all they know about. No wonder that the nation's No. 1 economic and illiteracy problem lies here! A glance at the roll of the 203 who supported conscription extension will show most of our Southern Congressmen on it.

My former home is Cincinnati, Ohio, where I used to enjoy our dear Father Coughlin's inspired radio sermons. I have often thought of him as an up-to-date counterpart of the Prophets of old.

To be with the minority is no disgrace, rather an honor; as it was with the great minority of long ago who stood with Jesus Christ against the Jews and the Roman Empire.

Most of my people were Democrats. The *Cincinnati Times-Star* was always so bitter towards the Democrats and so constant, right or wrong, in its support of the Republican Party that it really turned me against the G.O.P. Here in Atlanta the same is true of the *Atlanta Journal* in its virtual 100 per cent support of the New Deal. Its editorials are positively juvenile.

One can hardly realize that an Ohioan, Gov. James M. Cox, could be the owner of such a paper.

With such fine sources of information as SOCIAL JUSTICE, *The Sign*, and the *Pathfinder*, I believe I am rather well fortified against the fearful slander in our dailies.

Atlanta, Georgia. — F.T.D.

Letters

New Names for Same Old Titles

TO THE EDITOR:

Wake up, fellow Americans!

We are being fooled into believing that there are "two sides" to this European war. It is a trick; there is only one side.

If we Americans can keep our freedom, some day we will read books on the subject — how the evil leaders of Europe were all actors, doing their parts well, getting all their orders from the same bosses, the world's money lords, who want to re-establish their old, old system of dukes, lords, barons, countesses, etc.

The war in Europe is a war for war's sake. It is planned and executed to create chaos in every country in the world. This chaos will automatically destroy private ownership, the money lords will then be the owners and masters of everything and bosses of the army and navy.

The few Americans who will have been able to hang onto some private ownership will find themselves with a gun in their faces. Behind the gun will be the hireling of the money lords who will say: "Hand over your farm, your home, your building, your savings account, your insurance policy — and do it in the name of patriotism!"

The money masters and their titles will tell us, the people, that they will "take care of us."

Do not be fooled, fellow Americans, by these honeyed promises. Once the day comes when you cannot buy your own shirt, in the store of your preference, and in the style you like — then your freedom lies in the hands of some duke, lord, or baron.

These new money lords may not use the old titles, they may use different names, but it will mean the same thing.

Madison, Wisconsin. — **G.H.B.**

The 'Pagan' Hitler

TO THE EDITOR:

Would that we had more such fearless men as Father Coughlin in the Christian church, who would bravely shout and thunder from their pulpits the menace of Communism.

In my conception, the Church should have taken a more active stand against Communism long ago, especially since the State took only half-hearted interest in squelching it.

Communism endangers the faith, and the Church must be militant to the last degree in order to teach the flock its danger. Not only is the House of Representatives the last stronghold of democracy, as we find stated in SOCIAL JUSTICE, but religion also. It has a magnificent chance to fight for true democracy and the *Constitution* by shouting to the world to return to God and His commands.

It happens occasionally that otherwise fine articles in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine are spoiled by a few words which erase in part the good impression created. I have reference to such remarks as the "pagan Hitler" or the "murderers Stalin and Hitler" just to mention two instances.

Whoever makes such statements is either ignorant or wilfully slandering. From the very beginning of taking over power in Germany, Hitler told the Germans and the world in his broadcasts "we must have religion; without it we cannot have a good State; anyone can choose his church, be it Catholic, Protestant or any other he wishes to find his salvation. The church will find plenty to do to care for the welfare of souls. We will not interfere with religion, but the church must not interfere with politics."

When Franco was battling the Reds in Spain who helped him? Was it not the "pagan" Hitler? Who criticized both? Was it not the Christians of all people!

Los Angeles, Calif. — **S.W.W.**

Each Can Help

TO THE EDITOR:

This is my first letter to SOCIAL JUSTICE readers. While reading the letters page a thought occured to me. We all agree on the merits of the magazine and what it is trying to do for America. And how can we who understand ever forget Father Coughlin who told us in advance.

Have you, friend reader, ever tried to do your part to make conditions better? I suggest that each one of us begin now; call on at least five friends and neighbors and try to induce them to read the SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

You will be doing them a favor.

Also urge each one to write a letter to his Congressman, to retain our *Neutrality Law* and keep this country out of foreign war, and also to pray for peace.

Also urge them to write to the President and help him keep his pledge to keep this country out of war. It is up to us; shame on those who fail.

I have already written many letters. I have sold and distributed SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine for several years in rain, snow and ice.

Columbus, Ohio. — **W.F.M.**

Our Word or Reds'

TO THE EDITOR:

So the Communist editors who control the destinies of the *Daily Worker* are under the impression that you are falsifying the initials on your pages of "comment from the people"?

Under the circumstances, it is SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine's word against that of the *Daily Worker*, and if that is not sufficient God help us.

If the time ever comes that they need more concrete evidence to verify their suspicion, *kindly put my name on the list first!*

It is an impossible thing to expect anyone connected with the *Worker* to believe an uncomfortable fact when they meet it; but have patience, I am sure the time is not far distant when they will no longer doubt those initials, because these Communistic aliens are going to receive a lesson in Americanism which will make history.

I for one believed their dirty lies until a real American dispelled my ignorance. Yes, *a real American;* and I refer to Father Coughlin!

Doesn't that make 'em see red as well as be it?

— **Former Communist.**

Cleveland, Ohio.

Letters to Prelates? Church Not a 'Democracy'

TO THE EDITOR:

The "open letters" of Sebastian Ignotus have been fine and true and fearless, but why address them to the President?

For the most part the Christian people of this nation, despite the muzzling of press and radio, are aware that there is little hope or relief to be expected from those in high places who hold and wield the whip.

Poverty, tears, shooting war they have come to expect. Only one question still adds to their confusion: where are the Representatives of Christ in this nation who in times of peace and order were the props and mainstay of the people?

Even though they preach as Christ of the pain and suffering that must needs be a part of all who follow the Way of the Cross, still they were with us, close to us we felt, our Shepherds always come what might.

But now they have for so great part deserted us. The fingers of one hand will take census of those that have in this time of trial continued to teach and preach Christ, fearlessly.

To add to our confusion a certain dignitary, flanked by three coherents of lesser rank, publicly participates in and applauds a betrayal.

My Dear Sebastian, you have read the words: "Oh, if I might ask the divine Redeemer, as the Prophet Zachary did in spirit, 'What are these wounds in the midst of thy hands?' the answer would not be doubtful. 'With these was I wounded in the house of them that loved me. I was wounded by my friends, who did nothing to defend me, and who, on every occasion, made themselves the accomplices of my adversaries.'"

What result can be expected from the President from your open letters? No matter what the Christians of this nation think of him for deserting them, there are far more important issues to grieve them.

Better by far to write open letters to these Stewards and lesser Shepherds who have deserted their flocks. A flock wandering and sorrowful, many of whom will stray and become lost for all time.

Truly this has become an age of letter writing. We are urged to write to all those in control, insisting or protesting, just about every issue under the sun.

Why not letters to the Cardinals, the Apostolic Delegate, even to the Vicar of Christ himself protesting these delinquent stewards of the church who are bringing tears, confusion and complete lack of unity among the ranks of the faithful?

Surely we, too, are members of the Mystical Body. It has been taught to us well and faithfully that the best of all the world's goods are as nothing compared to our eternal life. If this be true shall we offer no protest when our greatest treasure is being snatched from us?

In all these "vocations" which through the years we have been urged to pray for and have kept in bread and books are there not more that will lead us and guide us and comfort us in our time of dire need?

Shall we protest of our natural rights to unscrupulous Caesars while we remain silent regarding our spiritual rights given us by the sacrifice of Jesus on the Cross?

Detroit, Michigan. — **M.A.C.**

Religion in Germany

TO THE EDITOR:

May I quote a few statements from Hitler's *"Mein Kampf"?*

"If I had the intention to fight religion," he wrote, "I would have become a reformer and not a politician . . . Fighting against religion is just like running against a wall."

Being so successful otherwise, Hitler's advisers persuaded him to try his good luck in welding together the Protestant churches. All the sects were crowded but the churches — maintained by the State — were empty.

He appointed Bishop Mueller and the famous Niemoeller-Mueller case was born. Right here he could have separated church and State in Germany. Disappointed, Hitler dropped the entire matter, regretting that he had ever made the attempt.

Through his minister, Goebbels, he related that he had "failed in trying to do some good" and that henceforth the saying of Frederic the Great should prevail and "everybody shall be saved his own way."

German priests and pastors are intelligent and educated. With all the connections that a national magazine like yours has, it should not be hard to get first hand information about Germany.

Chicago, Illinois. — **O.E.**

Letters

No Nation Can Defeat God

TO THE EDITOR:

We denounce Hitler and Mussolini and their ideas, but we do the very things we are condemning them for.

We do not denounce Stalin.

We say nothing while the President of our Republic secretly makes an appointment in the middle of the ocean with Prime Minister Churchill of Great Britain.

He did not need to tell Congress where he was going; he had only to return, give them a grin, and tell them he wants $10-billion more.

We stand by like saps while our country is being sold out to an international *clique* in Washington, D. C., and New York City.

We listen to radio commentators, read newspapers, and watch movies spread their propaganda for them, and how our people swallow that propaganda! And those most fond of it are our so-called educated.

These propagandists tell us all about the sabotage and strikes, etc., being committed by agents of the Nazis. Believe me, fellow Americans, 90 per cent of the above-mentioned troubles in this country have been committed by the Communists, who know beforehand that the Nazis will be blamed for it.

Now just because I mention Washington and New York I shall probably be castigated as anti-Semitic. I'll tell you what I am and straight from the shoulder, too — I am an American citizen. I hate Naziism, Fascism, and though it may offend Washington, I desise Stalin and his Communism. I realize that I probably offend New York City, too, but I'm not sorry. So let those who think I'm "anti-Semitic" figure it out for themselves.

England will not win her war with all out help. Britain is paying for her past. During the recent civil war in Spain, in which England and France stood on the sidelines hoping that Stalin's Communists would conquer, and drive Christianity from Spain, a prelate of the Church remarked: "Both England and France will go down in disgrace because of their attitude."

I ask you, where is France today? And how is England faring? Things do bound back, don't they?

Will we be better off for aiding Soviet Russia? The answer is an emphatic "no." Because, dear citizens, one cannot defeat God.

When the President of the United States pledged our country to the side of Stalin, he deliberately side-tracked God.

— M.S.

Hartford, Conn.

Peace Is Possible

TO THE EDITOR:

Now more than ever before we must redouble our efforts to keep the United States out of this foreign war.

Each one of us should take the responsibility to write to President Roosevelt, and assure him that we do not want our boys sent to any foreign war.

"What good will my letter do?" The answer to this question is: "Your letter or mine won't do any good by themselves, but yours and mine and all the other letters of Americans interested in preserving their Republic will do a tremendous amount of good."

Three cents once a week is not too much to spend to save your boy and your friends' boys from death in an unnecessary foreign war.

Peace is possible, if only we will seek it.

Philadelphia, Pa. — T.J.D.

Discrimination

TO THE EDITOR:

I read with interest the article in your last issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine copied from the Communist *Daily Worker*.

The discrimination of defense industries against the Jews, recently complained of by Rabbi Miller before a Congressional subcommittee, is thoroughly understandable. So close is the tie-up between certain prominent Jews and Communism, that any patriotic employer might well hesitate to employ them in the best interests of his contracts and his country.

Moreover, this is not the first national crisis in which Jewish loyalty has been suspected. I have before me a clipping from the *Memphis Commercial Appeal* (Jan. 4, 1863), from which I quote the following:

"Cairo, Illinois — General U. S. Grant of the Federal Army has issued new orders forcing all Jews now in his Army to leave at once."

The constant whining of these trouble-makers over their alleged wrongs in this land which has given them every opportunity is ridiculous.

Jackson, Mississippi. — X.Y.Z.

What Britishers Think of Us Isn't Flattering

TO THE EDITOR:

I recently returned from England via South America and I think it is right to tell you as one of our honest and dependable papers, of the damnable plot against the United States that I learned it out of the mouths of outspoken Englishmen themselves.

They spoke earnestly of the British-Jewish alliance "that is going to beat the world" and put the United States "back into the British Empire where it belongs."

I heard not only the words I quote, but hints of it from many other sources, and even down in South America!

They spoke of Mr. Roosevelt this way: "He is an easy mark; thinks he is going to take over the Bermudas, etc., but let him go on thinking so," then they roared. It was a good joke. They said they would not have to do much fighting "after America comes in."

One of them said: "Sassoon and Beaverbrook are going over. I don't think we have anything to worry about now. They'll come in."

Another said: "They can't get out of it now."

Another one confided: "With the Jews in the saddle at Washington, we've got America where we want her, and won't we make her sweat!"

Another man said: "Trust the Jews; they have scores to pay off. Americans treat them like dirt. I'd like to see the Jews 'top dog' for a few years over there." And another interrupted him: "They're top dog now, only the Americans haven't discovered it yet, and won't till the 'settling up' comes."

They started talking about the Jews and how they were the only people with brains and character in the United States, and said that the British-Jewish "alliance" was the most brilliant thing that Churchill had accomplished. They added that it was hatched by Sassoon, Beaverbrook and Churchill, and that Roosevelt was their "willing tool"; that it would win the war, and control the world after the war!

They freely discussed how the economy of the United States was being planned and carried out, so that after the war is over the American people would be dependent on the British-Jewish alliance. If Americans balk at their terms, the British they said would "come to the aid of the Jews" and settle us and that it would "take some of the conceit out of the Americans!"

You cannot publish what I have told you — your paper would be killed; but you can, after reading this, pass it along to other patriotic Americans.

If only we might tell the people from one end of the land to the other the truth about this war they want us to fight; about "Union Now" and this damnable control plot.

If we do not frustrate it now, it will be too late by December. As those Britishers said, they will have us at last just where they have been wanting us since 1776.

Dorchester, Mass. — E.P.W.

Whatdya Mean — Eagle?

Notice!

SOCIAL JUSTICE is in receipt of many excellent letters which cannot be printed because the writers failed to enclose a name and address.

This magazine's policy of protecting the identity of writers is well known.

Some of these letters obviously are "plants" intended to trap SOCIAL JUSTICE into using anonymous communications so the senders can cry "fake."

Scores more, however, are meritorious communications that deserve publication.

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

upon the words of another Shakespearean character who said:

"Farewell the plumed troop and the big wars . . .

Othello's occupation's gone!"

* * *

As far as the President of the United States is concerned, the big war is over. That is, it is over as far as his wordy war is concerned—the war of threats, recriminations and fulminations. It is over as far as his policy of "short of war" is concerned.

Henceforth, he who played with fire must now eat fire.

Henceforth, there is no more "short of war." Verily, Mr. Roosevelt must fight war with every dollar, every ounce of raw material, every gadget of finished product, every cashiered item of liberty, every ounce of human flesh existent in these United States of America—provided the people of the United States of America want that kind of leadership.

Otherwise, today is the day when Mr. Roosevelt should give serious consideration to tendering his resignation to the Congress of the United States.

Indeed, the collapse of the Russian armies—more significant than the collapse of France — means that Mr. Roosevelt's ephemeral, unrealistic, Red Riding Hood policies have likewise collapsed. It is time for him to adopt the policy of all-out war without any trimmings or hypothecations, or an all-out exit from the White House.

To do the latter will be unexpected of him in so far as he is a leader who does not know how to retreat gracefully.

* * *

Inspecting the collapse of the Russian armies from another angle, this means, also, the collapse of international capitalism.

For years the Radio Priest of Royal Oak has been describing Communism as the "left wing" and international capitalism as the "right wing" of the same bird of prey.

Now that the left wing has crumbled to earth, can the right wing soar its empyrean way? Impossible!

Or, can one expect the British soldiers to fight when, for ages, it has been the policy of Great Britain to have others do the fighting for them? Presumptuous!

Or, can Mr. Roosevelt hope to frighten the American people into a foreign war when every American child is snickering at the spectacle of the embattled Britisher hiding behind the white cliffs of Dover because their leaders, when opportunity presented itself, were too conservative to risk battle with the remnants of Hitler's forces left to guard the western coastline of Europe? Improbable!

Yes; international capitalism—the right wing of the bird of prey — soon will be grounded. Messrs. Churchill and Roosevelt will be compelled to imitate Hitler before they can hope to annihilate Hitler.

Roosevelt and Churchill say that they cannot do business with Hitler.

Possibly, Hitler is saying that he cannot do business with them.

In a sense, National Socialism and international imperialism are incompatibles.

In a sense, this world is too small for both these philosophies as they now exist.

The square-jawed bull-headedness which represents the combination of Churchill-Roosevelt must undergo a modification, as must the universalistic ideology of Adolf Hitler—else the second world war will be dragged out while both parties scream their suicidal battle cry of "Victory or Death!"

Meanwhile, victory is on the side of Adolf Hitler, though gold is on the side of Churchill and Roosevelt.

And, meanwhile, the American people are beginning to conclude that if they follow their leader in the White House, they will fight a battle single-handedly without the help of Britain's 500-million imperial subjects.

Incidentally, the newspapers and radio commentators are practically the only red symbols left in this world of deflated Bolshevism.

Their faces are red in view of the preposterous frog stories they have been croaking.

Frankfurter Wins

ONE OF the United States Army's best military observers, Lieut. Col. Truman Smith, has been retired at 50, instead of the normal retiring age of 64, ostensibly because of poor health.

Behind that retirement is a sordid story of official "persecution" — for the simple reason that Col. Smith dared to tell the unwanted truth; and one of the principal "persecutors," according to Washington, was none other than Felix Frankfurter.

Richard L. Stokes, in a Washington story to the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, reports:

"According to a version in many quarters, Smith was a martyr to duty. He was assigned to Berlin from 1920 to 1924, and again from 1934 to 1939. During the second tour, he began to send in reports of Nazi re-armament, particularly in the air. He was a friend of Charles A. Lindbergh and helped obtain for the latter many of the contacts which enabled him to give reports on German military aviation to the British and American governments.

"Col. Smith was recalled to Washington, and his health declared to have been wrecked, partly as the result of a campaign to have him broken in rank. Prominent in this movement, according to high sources, was Associate Justice Felix Frankfurter, who is said to have been convinced, like Smith's own superiors, that his reports could be explained only on the ground that he had 'turned pro-Nazi.'

"Official favor smiled on him again after the *blitzkrieg* in Poland and he rose to an honored and influential position in Military Intelligence. He remained outspoken and hard-boiled in his opinions and never concealed his professional admiration for the German war machine. But illness is generally held to have been the true reason for his retirement."

* * *

Columnists for the last year have referred again and again to Frankfurter's feud with Col. Smith.

How much of Frankfurter's pressure was, we wonder, applied through his co-racial, General Miles, head of the Army's Military Intelligence Service?

Why are men like ex-Ambassador Joseph Kennedy and ex-Ambassador John Cudahy refeused a hearing at our own State Department and their factual reports on conditions in Germany and the Nazi war machine disregarded?

Why are patriotic American observers, who know the score, branded "pro-Nazi" and "Nazi stooges" if they report unpleasant facts?

Why are the Jews, hiding behind hatred for the Nazis, pulling the same blind act that Leon Blum pulled when he deceived France?

Why do they fear facts?

STATEMENT OF THE OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, CIRCULATION, ETC., REQUIRED BY THE ACTS OF CONGRESS OF AUGUST 24, 1912, AND MARCH 3, 1933.

Of SOCIAL JUSTICE, published weekly at Royal Oak, Michigan, for October 1, 1941.

State of Michigan.

County of Oakland—ss.

Before me, a Notary Public, in and for the State and county aforesaid, personally appeared E. Perrin Schwartz, who, having been duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the Editor of the Social Justice, and that the following is, to the best of his knowledge and belief, a true statement of the ownership, management (and if a daily paper, the circulation), etc., of the aforesaid publication for the date shown in the above caption, required by the Act of August 24, 1912, as amended by the Act of March 3, 1933, embodied in section 537, Postal Laws and Regulations, printed on the reverse of this form, to wit:

1. That the names and addresses of the publisher, editor, managing editor, and business managers are: Publisher, Social Justice Publishing Co., Royal Oak, Michigan. Editor, E. Perrin Schwartz, Royal Oak, Michigan. Managing Editor, E. Perrin Schwartz, Royal Oak, Michigan. Business Managers, None.

2. That the owner is (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and also immediately thereunder the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding one per cent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding one per cent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of the individual owners must be given. If owned by a firm, company, or other unincorporated concern, its name and address, as well as those of each individual member, must be given.) Thomas J. Coughlin, Royal Oak, Michigan. Amelia Frances Coughlin, Royal Oak, Michigan.

3. That the known bondholders, mortgagees, and other security holders owning or holding 1 per cent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities are: (If there are none, so state.) None.

4. That the two paragraphs next above, giving the names of the owners, stockholders, and security holders, if any, contain not only the list of stockholders and security holders as they appear upon the books of the company but also, in cases where the stockholder or security holder appears upon the books of the company as trustee or in any other fiduciary relation, the name of the person or corporation for whom such trustee is acting, is given; also that the said two paragraphs contain statements embracing affiant's full knowledge and belief as to the circumstances and conditions under which stockholders and security holders who do not appear upon the books of the company as trustees, hold stock and securities in a capacity other than that of a bona fide owner; and this affiant has no reason to believe that any other person, association, or corporation has any interest direct or indirect in the said stock, bonds, or other securities than as so stated by him.

5. That the average number of copies of each issue of this publication sold or distributed, through the mails or otherwise, to paid subscribers during the twelve months preceding the date shown above is ..... (This information is required from daily publications only.)

E. Perrin Schwartz (Signature of editor, publisher, business manager, or owner.)

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 29th day of September, 1941.

(Seal) Catherine G. Smith,
Notary Public.
(My commission expires August 22, 1944.)

Comment

America First 'Exposed'

THE *New Masses* (drop the "M," if you want to) is braying again through the teeth of John L. Spivak.

This corny correspondent for radical papers is now "exposing" America First.

Among other things, he attempts to line up the America First Committee with an anti-Semitic movement. Spivak features Senator Robert Reynolds, Robert M. Harriss, Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, *The Brooklyn Tablet*, Mrs. Barbara McDonald, John T. Flynn, General Wood, Senator Wheeler and Senator Nye as the big, bad anti-Semites hiding behind the sheep skin of America First.

Of course, he takes Chas. A. Lindbergh's anti-Semitism for granted.

Definitely, there are some Jews who are opposed to America's entrance into a shooting war. But these Jews do not get an opportunity to express themselves in the name of Jews. They receive no headlines in the press. They are given no time on national radio.

As a matter of fact, the only Jews to whom publicity is given are those in favor of a shooting war. Practically every Jewish magazine in America is in favor of all aid to Britain and all aid to Red Russia.

If the hierarchy of the Catholic Church, together with the bulk of Catholic publications, clamored for a shooting war, it would be logical, from a sociological viewpoint, to say that Catholics wanted a shooting war.

If the Irish leaders of America, together with all Irish publications, supported the thesis of an all-out shooting war, it would be logical, from a sociological viewpoint, to say that the Irish people resident here wanted an all-out shooting war.

Why, then, do the Jews resent being classified as Lindbergh classified them when the bulk of their leaders and their publications support this very thesis?

It is not "anti-Semitism" to affirm that the Jews want an all-out shooting war. In fact, the Jews are super-patriots in that they have lined up with the President and his advisers for a shooting war.

We, who do not want an all-out shooting war are not such great "patriots," because we have not lined up with the President and his advisers. Are the Jews so sensitive as to be classified among the super-patriots, the pro-Britishers and the pro-Rooseveltians?

The shame, of course, is that the Catholics and the Protestants, together with the Irish, Italians, Germans and other nationalities in America have not gone the way the Jews have gone.

* * *

As for the America First Committee, it is generally composed of persons who are against an all-out shooting war; persons who oppose the Jews, not because they are Jews but because they have lined up with the pro-British and the pro-Rooseveltian internationalists.

Nevertheless, the America First Committee, which will go down on record as the one great, national group opposing a shooting war, will be tarred with the stick of anti-Semitism, and unjustly, because this calumny will spread until it will be believed.

Leonard Lyons, a prominent Jewish syndicate writer, predicts as follows:

"Two of the nation's eminent isolationist leaders will abandon their present stand soon, and announce their support of the President . . . The first convert will be the junior Senator from Illinois, C. Wayland Brooks . . . A few days thereafter, Gen. Robert Wood, head of the America First Committee, is expected to make a similar move."

Should the Senator and the General referred to above repudiate, by their change, the America First Committee, 80 per cent of our people will still stand by Senators Wheeler and Nye and Charles A. Lindbergh.

Their day has not arrived. When the smoke from battle is dissipated, their assailants will be groveling in the mud. Some day history will explore the whole question of *"who started the war and who got America into it."*

When that day arrives we trust that there will be sanity in our country and mercy in our hearts.

Incidentally, in view of Leonard Lyons' prediction, now is the opportune moment for General Wood to make a clear, concise and unequivocal statement.

Jewish Communists

THE National Council of Jewish Communists! Believe it or not, that is the name of an organization.

Feature a National Council of Catholic Communists, or a National Council of Protestant Communists.

Catholicism and Protestantism are diametrically opposed to Communism.

For the past several months many Jews have been endeavoring to convince America that the word "Jew" is synonymous with a religion.

Nevertheless, there is such an organization as a National Council of Jewish Communists.

What do the Jews want us to believe? Can there be a relation between the religion of Judaism and Communism?

We hope not. We trust that religious Jews will disavow Communism even at this late date, as Father Coughlin asked them to do in 1938.

Are the rabbis campaigning against Communism?

Is B'nai B'rith campaigning against Communism?

If necessary, are religious Jews ready to disavow the irreligious Jews who are Communists?

Max Steinberg is the Secretary of the National Council of Jewish Communists.

The office is located at 35 East 12th Street, New York City.

This organization is circulating literature.

A thousand broadsides were distributed at Madison Square Garden on the 29th of September when the National Council of Jewish Communists applauded at a meeting convened to smear Lindbergh, Wheeler, Nye, His Holiness Pius XII and others.

This National Council of Jewish Communists has the effrontery to print on its literature: *"Defeat the anti-Semites — betrayers of American democracy!"*

God save the mark! Communists want to save democracy!

It's too late now to say "We told you so"; for most Americans believe that all Jews are religionists.

Some day that credulity will snap back in their faces when the slow-learning public will observe many things incompatible with religion in the Jewish scheme of things.

The above is a sample of it.

Here's a Funny One — Our Enemies Do Not Know

We're geared in reverse — and going ahead.

UNLIKE newspapers, or other magazines — we haven't had to send a representative to England to make a survey.

We haven't had to get out the lace tablecloth —and wine and dine some foreign notability — who just walked down the ramp.

We can laugh at "Gallup Polls" — we never bent our editorials in the direction their winds blew.

Our individualistic, common-sense policy, caused hard sledding for a while — but our time has come. We had the courage of our convictions. Without fear — with singleness of purpose, we have built up a magnificent national circulation.

Our dividends are now coming. More and more persons want to know where to buy a copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

We need 5,000 more salesmen immediately to handle the demand. Boys and girls — men and women — anyone — BUT NOW!

What about that young lad that does your chores after school? His hesitancy about leaving and his "Is that all you have for me to do today?" — is a dead giveaway that he wants to earn more shekels.

Here is a golden opportunity to do some good for him — for yourself — and all our citizens.

Chances are he never heard of SOCIAL JUSTICE. Chances are he never dreamed he could make such a profit in a few hours.

Spur him into immediate action by stamping and addressing the envelope for him and have him insert the following coupon.

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.
ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN.

Immediately send me more details about your money-making proposition:

Name .....

Address .....

City and State .....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Mr. Roosevelt's Fifth Column in Panama

THE REPUBLICS of Latin America, framing their constitutions upon our own great document of liberty, and patterning their idealistic governments after the high professions of American statesmanship, have every reason to be concerned over the internationalist intrigues and promise-breaking of the New Deal *clique* now in charge of the Government of the United States.

The *Constitution* of the U.S.A. and our *Monroe Doctrine* have long been regarded as safeguards of American security —both North and South.

The "banana republics" of Central America, forming as it were, with Mexico, a series of "buffer States" between the ambitious and driving commercial United States and themselves, have always been closely watched for evidences of Uncle Sam's suspected "imperialism."

The story of the creation of Panama is not pretty. Another Roosevelt, incidentally, had a hand in that. Briefly, we wanted a canal through another country and we took it by connivance with revolution.

Despite the intrigue of "fraternity brothers" and subversive racialists, the more representative Panamanians of the caliber of Arnulfo Arias have sought to build up a stable Republic.

As part of that independence and self-respect, President Arias' administration refused to permit the arming of American merchant ships operating under Panama registry and flying the flag of Panama.

This piratical abuse of Panama's sovereignty was intended to permit ships of the great United States to take part in a foreign war which its administration did not dare to declare.

Absent in Cuba for a routine eye check, President Arias found himself ousted by a bloodless revolutionary *coup* which seated as president a couple of fellows named "La Guardia."

Almost as soon as Americans learned of the *putsch*, President Roosevelt had recognized the new regime. Recognition for legitimist nationals like Gen. Franco and Marshal Petain calls for time and deliberation; recognition of Leftist regimes like Stalin's and the La Guardias' is almost automatic!

Quick to use the situation, Nazi propagandists charged the United States Government with having engineered the Panama *putsch*. Asked about it in Havana by news men, President Arias said: "Draw your own conclusions!"

* * *

"This swift sequence, against our imperial background in the Caribbean, suggests that the trouble with Dr. Arias' eyes may have been that they were not in focus with Washington," comments the *Detroit Free Press*. "Other rulers of banana republics have fallen because they did not see eye to eye with Uncle Sam."

In this case, Franklin Roosevelt.

"Thirty-eight years ago next November 3," continues the editorial, "a revolution severed Panama from Colombia. A Chinese bystander was killed, and Gen. Huertas, who led the revolt was nearly drowned in champagne. Thus was the Republic of Panama born and christened. American money financed the fiasco. A few hours later we recognized Panama's independence. . . .

"As late as Wilson's administration, Army, Navy and Marines were used to 'educate' these (Caribbean) countries to their new status . . . By recognizing, or refusing to recognize, a ruler in this sphere, Washington can make or break him . . . Most Latin American rulers have rivals, waiting only till they slip from favor in Washington.

"Arnulfo Arias might still be president of Panama if 'eye trouble' had not prevented him seeing this more closely."

* * *

At least, Adolf Hitler acquires his territories by military conquests and the risks of war; Mr. Roosevelt's aggressions use only the despicable "fifth column."

And so again, the Good Neighbor turns out to be just another greedy imperialist; just as the great Humanitarian has been revealed as a tradition-smashing Individualist and potential super-dictator.

READ LINDBERGH'S 'LAST' SPEECH

Complete Text in This Issue